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Abstract

Background: Allergic Rhinitis is a common Ear, Nose and Throat disorder. Asthma and Allergic Rhinitis are diseases with similar underlying mechanism and pathogenesis. The aim of this survey was to highlight current treatment trends for Allergic Rhinitis and Asthma.

Method: A questionnaire was emailed to all registered consultant members of the British Association of Otorhinolaryngologists - Head and Neck Surgeons regarding the management of patients with Allergic Rhinitis and related disorders.

Results: Survey response rate was 56%. The results indicate a various approach in the investigation and management of Allergic Rhinitis compatible with recommendations from the Allergic Rhinitis and Its Impact on Asthma guidelines in collaboration with the World Health Organisation.

Conclusion: A combined management approach for patients with Allergic Rhinitis and concomitant Asthma may reduce medical treatment costs for these conditions and improve symptom control and quality of life.
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Background

The definition of Allergic Rhinitis (AR) was formulated by Hansel in 1929 [1]. It is a symptomatic nasal disorder caused by allergen exposure through an IgE-mediated immune response against allergens. AR can be subdivided into intermittent (symptoms <4 days per week or for <4 weeks) and persistent disease (symptoms >4 days per week or for >4 weeks) and is further characterized according to severity as mild or moderate/severe [2].

The nasal passage and paranasal sinuses are an integral part of the respiratory tract and patients may have rhinitis without sinusitis, but not sinusitis without rhinitis, hence the term rhinitis has been replaced in modern ENT literature by the more accurate term rhinosinusitis [3]. AR and Asthma are linked epidemiologically, pathologically, physiologically and therapeutically and can be considered as a manifestation of a single inflammatory airway syndrome [4]. Most patients with Asthma have rhinitis suggesting the concept of “one airway, one disease” [5]. AR is more prevalent than Asthma and a European population study was reported to have an AR prevalence rate of 25% [6]. AR usually precedes Asthma and can be considered as risk factor for the development of Asthma. Rhinitis exists in up to 80% of Asthma patients and frequently exacerbates Asthma and increases the risk of Asthma attacks, but the prevalence of Asthma in patients with rhinitis varies from 10 - 40% [7]. AR and Asthma are chronic respiratory diseases that cause major disability worldwide including impaired sleep, school, work and quality of life and are associated with substantial economic costs [8].

The aetiology of AR is multi-factorial and the diagnostic and therapeutic choices remain diverse. The aim of this questionnaire survey was to highlight the treatment trends in the management of AR amongst UK-based otolaryngologists.

Methods

A questionnaire (Appendix 1) was emailed via ENT UK to the email addresses of all registered consultant members of the British Association of Otorhinolaryngologists - Head and Neck Surgeons (BAO-HNS). Survey recipients were asked 1) about their familiarity with the...
Allergic Rhinitis and Its Impact on Asthma (ARIA) guidelines in collaboration with the World Health Organisation (WHO), 2) about the type of investigations, treatment regimes and follow-up arrangements for AR patients and 3) about whether they give to patients advice leaflets on life style changes including education and allergen avoidance. All participants had the opportunity to answer anonymously.

Results
A total of 551 questionnaires were emailed. There were 309 replies (56% response rate). All consultants were familiar with the association between AR and Asthma but only 63% of the respondents were familiar with the ARIA guidelines. Seventy seven (25%) of otolaryngologists managed AR patients of which a 20 - 30% proportion had associated symptoms or a diagnosis of Asthma (Figure 1).

The commonest investigation requested was skin prick testing (81% of respondents). Only nine consultants (3%) arranged pulmonary spirometry (Figure 2).

Fifty six per cent of otolaryngologists preferred the management pathway of starting treatment and then discharging AR patients to General Practitioners (GPs) for further follow-up. However three (1%) consultants managed and subsequently referred AR patients to a respiratory physician (Figure 3).

In total two hundred and forty (78%) of otolaryngologists provided patient leaflets on life-style changes including education and practical tips on allergens avoidance. (Figure 4)

Discussion
AR is a multi-factorial disease with a worldwide disabling effect on all individuals irrespective of age and ethnic background. In 2001 the ARIA in collaboration with the WHO workshop published guidelines for healthcare professionals in order to highlight the latest updates on the aetiology of AR emphasizing the association between AR and Asthma and proposing a management algorithm [2]. In 2008 a further update incorporated evidence based practice and the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) Working Group methodology with a focus on the prevention of allergic and chronic respiratory diseases [9].

Risk factors for AR include a combination of environmental and genetic interactions [10]. The diagnosis of AR is based upon a thorough history of allergic symptoms and diagnostic investigations. The European Academy of Allergology and Clinical Immunology, the US Joint Council of Allergy and Asthma and the WHO recommend the use of skin prick testing for AR, which is preferred by the majority of UK otolaryngologists (81%) [11].

An overwhelming 99% of UK ENT consultants use intra-nasal steroids to treat AR. This trend is supported by several studies demonstrating that intra-nasal steroids...
are the most efficacious and cost effective first line treatment for AR [12,13].

Allergen specific immunotherapy for AR was first described in 1911 by Noon and involves the administration of a gradually increasing quantity of an allergen extract [14]. Patients are selected with demonstrated specific IgE antibodies to known allergens. In the USA the subcutaneous route is the only licensed route of administration. Interestingly 15% of UK otolaryngologists used sublingual and 5% used subcutaneous forms of immunotherapy. There is increasing evidence supporting the use of allergen immunotherapy in AR. Unlike pharmacotherapy, the clinical benefits are likely to be sustained for many years after discontinuation of treatment [15]. Wilson et al in a cochrane review showed sublingual immunotherapy to be a safe and effective option in managing AR [16]. Furthermore, Calderon et al in a recently published meta-analysis advocate the use of allergen injection immunotherapy as an effective treatment with a low risk of adverse effects in the management of AR [17]. However, further studies are required to evaluate significant differences between these two routes. Allergen specific immunotherapy is not recommended in patients with severe or uncontrolled Asthma because of risk of adverse bronchial reactions [18].

The importance of educating patients or carers with advice leaflets with information regarding AR including allergen avoidance cannot be over emphasised and was actively supported by 78% of responders of this survey. This enables individuals to be actively involved in the management of their disease resulting in an overall improvement in patient satisfaction, treatment compliance and outcomes [19]. Such practice is endorsed by the General Medical Council (GMC) through its guidance on good medical practice for doctors [20].

Interestingly, only 3% of otolaryngologists arranged pulmonary spirometry and 1% of all respondents referred AR patients to a respiratory physician for management of any associated lower respiratory tract pathology. Several studies though have demonstrated that AR patients with no underlying Asthma do have impaired pulmonary function and reversible airway obstruction [21,22]. In addition, studies have revealed that AR is linked to an increased use of Asthma related medical services and that the treatment of either AR or that of Asthma can alleviate the symptoms of the other and hence reduce the number of days off school and work and costs of utilising medical services loss of employment productivity [23,24]. Furthermore, greater awareness of the economic burden of AR would assist healthcare providers in establishing priorities for the allocation of their limited resources and ultimately ensure cost and clinical effective outcomes [25].

Conclusion
This survey demonstrates as expected a diverse approach to the management of Allergic Rhinitis. An interesting finding of the survey is that despite good evidence on the relationship between Asthma and AR, most otolaryngologists often do not think “outside the specialty”, for example they would rarely use spirometry as a diagnostic aid.

A combined treatment strategy of allergen avoidance, pharmacotherapy, immunotherapy and education applied to patients with AR and concomitant Asthma as recommended by the ARIA guidelines may reduce medical treatment costs and improve symptom control and quality of life.
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APPENDIX 1: Allergic Rhinitis E-mail Questionnaire
Dear colleague,

Please could you complete this survey with your responses and return it by clicking the SUBMIT button below. We thank you for your valuable time in completing this survey.

1. Are you familiar with the association between Allergic Rhinitis and Asthma?
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