Prolactinomas, Cushing's disease and acromegaly: debating the role of medical therapy for secretory pituitary adenomas
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Abstract
Pituitary adenomas are associated with a variety of clinical manifestations resulting from excessive hormone secretion and tumor mass effects, and require a multidisciplinary management approach. This article discusses the treatment modalities for the management of patients with a prolactinoma, Cushing’s disease and acromegaly, and summarizes the options for medical therapy in these patients.

First-line treatment of prolactinomas is pharmacotherapy with dopamine agonists; recent reports of cardiac valve abnormalities associated with this class of medication in Parkinson’s disease has prompted study in hyperprolactinemic populations. Patients with resistance to dopamine agonists may require other treatment.

First-line treatment of Cushing’s disease is pituitary surgery by a surgeon with experience in this condition. Current medical options for Cushing’s disease block adrenal cortisol production, but do not treat the underlying disease. Pituitary-directed medical therapies are now being explored. In several small studies, the dopamine agonist cabergoline normalized urinary free cortisol in some patients. The multi-receptor targeted somatostatin analogue pasireotide (SOM230) shows promise as a pituitary-directed medical therapy in Cushing’s disease; further studies will determine its efficacy and safety. Radiation therapy, with medical adrenal blockade while awaiting the effects of radiation, and bilateral adrenalectomy remain standard treatment options for patients not cured with pituitary surgery.

In patients with acromegaly, surgery remains the first-line treatment option when the tumor is likely to be completely resected, or for debulking, especially when the tumor is compressing neurovisual structures. Primary therapy with somatostatin analogues has been used in some patients with large extrasellar tumors not amenable to surgical cure, patients at high surgical risk and patients who decline surgery. Pegvisomant is indicated in patients who have not responded to surgery and other medical therapy, although there are regional differences in when it is prescribed.

In conclusion, the treatment of patients with pituitary adenomas requires a multidisciplinary approach. Dopamine agonists are an effective first-line medical therapy in most patients with a prolactinoma, and somatostatin analogues can be used as first-line therapy in selected patients with acromegaly. Current medical therapies for Cushing’s disease primarily focus on adrenal blockade of cortisol production, although pasireotide and cabergoline show promise as pituitary-directed medical therapy for Cushing’s disease; further long-term evaluation of efficacy and safety is important.
study was found to be 1 per 1064 individuals [3], which is 3.5-5 times higher than previously reported estimates of clinicall relevant adenomas; prolactinomas were most common (66%), followed by null-cell adenomas (14.7%), somatotroph adenomas (13.2%) and corticotroph adenomas (5.9%) [3].

The aim of this article is to review the treatment modalities for the management of patients with the three most frequent hormone-producing tumors: prolactinomas, corticotroph adenomas (Cushing’s disease) and somatotroph adenomas (acromegaly), and to summarize the medical therapy available and under investigation in these patients.

Management of prolactinomas
Prolactinomas are benign prolactin-producing pituitary adenomas. In women, the symptoms of hyperprolactinemia include infertility, menstrual irregularities, amenorrhea, galactorrhea, reduced libido and vaginal dryness, while in men they include impotence, reduced libido and infertility. If the tumor is large (a tumor with a diameter of >1 cm is classified as a macroadenoma), visual field defects and headache may be the presenting features. Therefore, patients requiring therapy for prolactinomas can be divided into two groups: those requiring therapy for the symptoms of hyperprolactinemia and those requiring treatment for the effects of tumor burden [4], although some patients have both hyperprolactinemia and mass effects, while others may have neither characteristic and may not need treatment.

The goal of treatment for most patients with prolactinomas is restoration of normal prolactin secretion if there are symptoms directly caused by prolactin excess, and prevention of hormonal/metabolic consequences (eg hypogonadism and osteoporosis) [5]. In patients with large tumors compromising the neurovascular apparatus (which represents the minority of cases), a decrease in tumor size is also a goal of treatment.

Current therapeutic options for patients with prolactinomas include observation, medical therapy with dopamine agonists (eg bromocriptine, cabergoline), transsphenoidal or transcranial surgery and conventional or stereotactic radiotherapy.

Surgery is generally reserved for patients who do not respond to medical therapy, patients with unstable pituitary hemorrhage or pregnant patients with progressive tumor enlargement not responding to dopamine agonist therapy. It may also be used in patients with contraindications to dopamine agonist use, such as those patients requiring psychiatric medications that work through the dopaminergic system. Transsphenoidal surgery is the surgical method of choice, with success rates in centers with experienced neurosurgeons (based on normalization of prolactin levels) of approximately 75% in patients with microprolactinomas and 34% in patients with macroprolactinomas [4]. Transcranial surgery is rarely performed, and is indicated in patients with tumors inaccessible via the transsphenoidal route. Radiotherapy is typically reserved for patients with large tumors jeopardizing adjacent structures who have not responded to medical or surgical treatment. Normalization of prolactin levels after radiotherapy is achieved in about one-third of patients, and the main complication is radiotherapy-induced hypopituitarism [4].

Medical treatment of prolactinomas
Prolactin secretion is regulated by the inhibitory signal of dopamine, acting via the dopamine D_2 receptor [4]. Dopamine agonists have been used for the treatment of prolactinomas for over 25 years, and are widely considered the first-line therapy in patients with prolactinomas. Bromocriptine was the first dopamine agonist available for the treatment of prolactinomas, but others with a longer half-life, such as pergolide, quinagolide, and cabergoline, have since become available (although pergolide and quinagolide are not available in the US). The most extensive experience has been with bromocriptine, although cabergoline is now widely used. In most patients with prolactinomas, treatment with dopamine agonists normalizes prolactin levels, restores gonadal function and fertility, and reduces the size of the tumor. In patients with microprolactinomas, macroprolactinomas or idiopathic hyperprolactinemia, normal prolactin secretion was achieved in 76% of 997 patients treated with bromocriptine, 87% of 98 patients who received pergolide, and 89% of 612 cabergoline-treated patients [6]. With respect to the efficacy of this class of medication on tumor reduction in patients with macroprolactinomas, cabergoline appears to be the most effective, although there have not been direct comparisons. In three separate studies in patients with macroprolactinomas who received 12-27 months of first-line therapy with dopamine agonists [7-9], tumor reduction of more than 50% was seen in 64%, 86% and 96% of patients treated with bromocriptine, pergolide and cabergoline, respectively (Table 1).

Although first-line therapy with dopamine agonists is widely considered the preferred first-line treatment in patients with prolactinomas, a definitive cure has been considered possible only with surgery or, rarely, surgery plus radiotherapy. In patients successfully treated with dopamine agonists as primary therapy, it has been unclear if the withdrawal of dopamine agonists is effective and safe [10]. However, Colao et al have recently evaluated the long-term effects of dopamine-agonist withdrawal in patients who received cabergoline as first-line therapy, and showed that cabergoline can be safely withdrawn in patients who have achieved normal prolactin levels and have no evidence of residual tumor [11]. Patients in this study had nontumoral hyperprolactine-
The most common adverse effects associated with dopamine agonists are nausea and vomiting (~30%), headache (~30%), and dizziness (~25%). Although the type of adverse events associated with different dopamine agonists are similar, the incidence of these events is lower with cabergoline and generally less severe than those associated with bromocriptine or pergolide. Withdrawal of cabergoline was considered if patients had normal prolactin levels and either no tumor visible by MRI or at least 50% reduction in tumor volume. The Kaplan-Meier estimated rate of recurrence of hyperprolactinemia 5 years after cabergoline withdrawal was low in patients who had a tumor at baseline and achieved tumor disappearance, and the recurrence rate did not differ significantly between patients with nontumoral hyperprolactinemia (24%), microprolactinomas (26.2%) or macroprolactinomas (32.6%). Recurrence of hyperprolactinemia was higher in patients still presenting small remnant tumors on MRI (41.5% in micro- and 77.5% in macroprolactinomas). However, in no case of biochemical recurrence did the tumor regrow or symptoms reappear; therapy was reinitiated when prolactin rose [11]. An additional analysis of estimated rates of recurrence at 7 years showed remission rates in patients with small remnant tumors was much lower in patients with macroprolactinomas than in patients with microprolactinomas (0% vs 20%; \( P < 0.0001 \)) [4]. Furthermore, Cox regression analysis determined that tumor diameter on withdrawal of cabergoline is the major determinant of recurrent hyperprolactinemia [4]. These results show that cabergoline can be safely withdrawn in patients with normalized prolactin levels and no evidence of tumor, although patients should be closely monitored upon withdrawal of therapy, particularly those patients with macroprolactinomas in whom renewed growth of the tumor may compromise vision. Whether "drug holidays" should be advised in patients with a substantial decrease in tumor size, given the recent concern about the effects of cabergoline on cardiac values, is not yet clear.

**Safety of dopamine agonists**

The most common adverse effects associated with dopamine agonists are nausea and vomiting (~30%), headache (~30%), and dizziness (~25%). Although the type of adverse events associated with different dopamine agonists are similar, the incidence of these events is lower with cabergoline and generally less severe than those associated with bromocriptine or pergolide. Withdrawal of cabergoline therapy because of adverse events is reported in less than 3% of patients, compared with about 12% of patients treated with bromocriptine [12].

Of concern are recent reports describing the occurrence of valvular insufficiency in patients treated with cabergoline or pergolide for Parkinson's disease. These studies showed that the use of cabergoline and pergolide in patients with Parkinson's disease is associated with an approximately 5-fold increased risk of newly diagnosed cardiac valve regurgitation [13,14].

There have been nine recently published studies that evaluated the prevalence of cardiac valve regurgitation in a total of 608 patients with prolactinomas who received long-term cabergoline treatment [15-23]. Eight of the nine studies showed no evidence of clinically relevant valvular regurgitation after prolonged cabergoline treatment [16-23], although three of the eight studies did show an increased prevalence of mild tricuspid regurgitation in cabergoline-treated patients [16,20,23]. However, a significant increase in moderate tricuspid regurgitation was seen with cabergoline treatment compared with controls in an observational, case-control study by Colao et al. [15] that compared cardiac parameters in 50 patients with prolactinomas treated with long-term cabergoline therapy (median 6.2 years; range 1.1-10.7 years), 50 control patients and 20 patients with *de novo* prolactinomas. Chronic cabergoline treatment did not induce regurgitation of mitral, aortic or pulmonic valves, but an increased prevalence of moderate tricuspid regurgitation was seen in treated patients compared with controls (54% vs 0%; \( P < 0.0001 \)) and *de novo* patients (54% vs 0%; \( P < 0.001 \)). Tricuspid regurgitation was twice as frequent in patients with a cumulative cabergoline dose of more than 280 mg than in patients treated with lower cumulative cabergoline doses [15].

A recent meta-analysis [24] that pooled results from six of the studies [15,16,19-22] showed that patients treated with cabergoline were at increased risk of tricuspid regurgitation than control patients, with a prevalence ratio of 1.40 [95% CI 1.17-1.67].

Results from these studies do not provide a definitive answer, and long-term investigation of this issue will be important. Some centers suggest echocardiography fol-

| Table 1: Effects of first-line therapy with bromocriptine, pergolide or cabergoline on tumor size and prolactin levels in patients with macroprolactinomas |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Bromocriptine (n = 27) | Pergolide (n = 22) | Cabergoline (n = 26) |
| Baseline prolactin (μg/L) | 2260 | 2938 | 1013 |
| Normalized prolactin (% of patients) | 66 | 68 | 100 |
| ≥50% tumor reduction (% of patients) | 64 | 86 | 96 |
| Duration of treatment (months) | 12 | 27 | 24 |
low-up studies in patients with prolactinomas treated with higher doses of cabergoline or other ergot-derived drugs.

Resistance to dopamine agonists

Although dopamine agonists are an effective treatment for most patients with prolactinomas, some patients do not achieve a satisfactory response. Resistance to dopamine agonists can be defined as a failure to achieve normalization of prolactin levels or no reduction in tumor size after 12-24 months of bromocriptine 15 mg/day or cabergoline 0.5 mg/day [6,25-27]; most cases of resistance to dopamine agonists can be considered partial resistance [28]. Approximately 24% and 11% of patients demonstrate resistance to bromocriptine and cabergoline, respectively [4]. In patients demonstrating possible resistance to a particular dopamine agonist, increasing the dose of that agent, as tolerated, may be effective as long as there is a continuing step-wise decrease in prolactin level with each step-wise increase in dose. Switching to another agent may be effective, but patients with resistant adenomas will usually require surgery, with or without radiotherapy, if control of a large lesion is needed.

A possible future medical therapy for patients with prolactinomas resistant to dopamine agonists is the multi-receptor targeted somatostatin analogue pasireotide (SOM230). In contrast to GH-secreting pituitary adenomas which express predominantly somatostatin receptor subtypes sst2 and sst5, prolactinomas express primarily sst1 and sst5. Unlike octreotide, which has high affinity for sst2, pasireotide has a multi-receptor binding profile, with affinity for sst1,2,3 and sst5. An in vitro study in prolactinomas cells has demonstrated a stronger inhibition of prolactin with pasireotide than with octreotide, and that the inhibition of prolactin release was related to the expression level of sst5 [29]. Studies with pasireotide have not yet been conducted in patients with prolactinomas.

Because prolactinomas express both D2 and sst3 receptors, there is a rationale for the use of chimeric D2/sst5 agonists such as BIM23A760, which has high sst2 and D2 activity and moderate sst3 activity [30,31]. A recent in vitro study of primary cultures of ten prolactinomas (six responsive to dopamine agonists and four resistant to dopamine agonists) showed that BIM 23A760 and cabergoline produced a similar partial inhibition of prolactin secretion [32].

Conclusions

First-line treatment of patients with prolactinomas is pharmacotherapy with dopamine agonists. In some centers with expert pituitary surgeons, selected patients with microprolactinomas may be offered surgery based on the high likelihood of definitive cure, although there are regional differences in how frequently surgery is advised. Whether an increased risk for tricuspid regurgitation associated with cabergoline, especially at higher doses and with longer treatment periods, should be investigated carefully. Patients fully responsive to dopamine agonists who have achieved tumor disappearance can be considered for withdrawal from the drug with careful follow up. A small subset of patients with macroprolactinomas that are less responsive to dopamine agonists may require more aggressive treatment with surgery and/or radiotherapy if tumor location or growth rate raises concerns about compromise of adjacent neurological structures.

Management of Cushing’s disease

Cushing’s disease is caused by excessive secretion of adrenocorticotrophic hormone (ACTH) by a pituitary corticotroph adenoma resulting in enhanced cortisol secretion from the adrenal glands. Features of hypercortisolism include weight gain, severe fatigue and muscle weakness, high blood pressure, depression, cognitive impairment, purplish skin striae, hyperpigmentation, loss of libido, impaired glucose metabolism, hirsutism, acne, and menstrual disorders [33,34]. Chronic hypercortisolism is associated with an increased incidence of systemic arterial hypertension, diabetes mellitus, central obesity, hyperlipidemia and hypercoagulability [33]. Indeed, 20-50% of the patients with Cushing’s disease have overt diabetes mellitus, whereas impaired glucose tolerance is present in 30-60% of patients [35]. Cushing’s disease is associated with increased mortality, largely because of cardiovascular complications, including coronary heart disease, congestive heart disease and cerebrovascular events [36].

Treatment goals in Cushing’s disease include the reversal of clinical features, the normalization of cortisol levels with minimal morbidity while preserving pituitary function, and long-term disease control without recurrence. In the small number of patients with macroadenomas, removal of the tumor mass is another treatment goal. The initial treatment of choice for Cushing’s disease is generally selective pituitary adenomectomy by an experienced, dedicated pituitary surgeon. Second-line therapy includes more radical surgery, radiation therapy, including stereotactic radiosurgery, medical therapy and bilateral adrenalectomy [37,38] Cure rates in patients with Cushing’s disease 10 years after pituitary surgery or radiotherapy are approximately 77% and 55%, respectively [39]. Although bilateral adrenalectomy is a definitive treatment providing immediate control of hypercortisolism, patients require lifelong glucocorticoid and mineralocorticoid replacement therapy, and up to 25% of patients develop Nelson’s syndrome or at least regrowth of the underlying pituitary adenoma [40]. Nelson’s syndrome is the aggressive growth of a pituitary corticotroph adenoma after bilateral adrenalectomy, and is associated
with symptoms such as skin hyperpigmentation, headache and visual impairment, which arise due to the mass effect of the tumor and increased ACTH secretion [41]. Close monitoring by regular MRI scans and measurement of plasma ACTH levels should be undertaken to detect the occurrence of corticotroph tumor progression. Early detection offers the possibility of cure by surgery (microadenoma) or radiotherapy (invasive adenomas).

Currently, there are no curative medical therapies available for Cushing’s disease. The most commonly used agents reduce cortisol levels via inhibition of steroidogenesis in the adrenal glands and, thus, do not target the underlying cause of the disease. Adrenal-directed therapy (eg ketoconazole and metyrapone) is generally used in the preoperative preparation of patients with severe disease, or in patients awaiting a response to radiation therapy.

**Adrenal-directed therapy**

Ketoconazole and metyrapone are the most commonly used steroidogenesis inhibitors for the treatment of Cushing’s disease. As reviewed by Miller and Crapo [42], 80% of 72 patients with Cushing’s disease treated with ketoconazole 600-1200 mg/day achieved normalization of urinary free cortisol (UFC) levels. A recent retrospective analysis of the long-term hormonal effects and tolerance of ketoconazole has been conducted in 38 patients with Cushing’s disease, with a mean follow-up of 23 months. The data demonstrate good tolerability and effective control, particularly in patients for whom surgery is contraindicated, or delayed during the investigation of an occult adenoma [43].

In 53 patients with Cushing’s disease treated for 1-16 weeks with metyrapone 750-6000 mg/day, 75% achieved normalization of mean daily plasma cortisol [44]. However, there is little prospective data on the long-term use of steroidogenesis inhibitors, and adverse effects limit their therapeutic use in some patients. Adverse effects associated with ketoconazole include abnormal liver tests (15%), gynecomastia (13%), gastrointestinal effects (8%), edema (6%), and skin rash (2%) [42], whereas adverse events associated with metyrapone doses >2 g/day include dizziness and ataxia (15%), nausea (5%), skin rash (4%), edema (8%) and hirsutism (70%) [44].

Mitotane and etomidate are also sometimes used in the treatment of Cushing’s disease. Mitotane is a derivative of dichlorodiphenyldichlororooethane (DDD) that specifically inhibits cells of the adrenal cortex. This adrenolytic action may prove effective in the long term suppression of hypercortisolism in the majority of patients with ACTH-dependent Cushing’s syndrome [45,46]. Its mechanism of action also prevents the risk of escape phenomenon in response to the ACTH rise that occurs in Cushing’s disease when plasma cortisol is decreased. However, its onset of action is slow (weeks or months), and the adverse effects associated with mitotane therapy (mainly digestive and neurological) require careful monitoring of drug levels, and it is routinely used in only a few centers. Etomidate is a non-opioid anesthetic that also induces adrenocortical suppression as one of its main side effects. In situations where rapid control of cortisol levels is required and oral therapy is problematic, intravenous etomidate therapy may be considered [47-49].

**Pituitary-directed therapy**

Pituitary-directed medical therapy targets the underlying cause of the disease, i.e. the ACTH-secreting tumor. A medical therapy that reverses tumor growth and lowers ACTH secretion to normal levels, thus normalizing the amount of cortisol being produced by the adrenal glands, would be a valuable therapeutic option for the management of Cushing’s disease. Several pituitary-directed agents are under evaluation for the treatment of Cushing’s disease, including peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma (PPAR-γ) agonists such as rosiglitazone and pioglitazone, dopamine agonists such as bromocriptine and cabergoline, and somatostatin analogues such as the investigational agent pasireotide.

PPAR-γ is a member of the nuclear receptor superfamily and functions as a transcription factor. PPAR-γ ligands have been shown to inhibit cell growth in a number of tumor types [50], and rosiglitazone has demonstrated in vitro antiproliferative and apoptotic effects in corticotroph cells [51]. However, evaluation of normal pituitary tissue and pituitary tumors has shown poor expression of PPAR-γ receptor in human pituitary tissue, no detection of a specific abnormality in PPAR-γ expression in corticotroph tumors, poor immunocytochemical expression in both normal pituitary and pituitary adenomas, with only weak cytoplasmic staining [52]. In addition, the antiproliferative effect of rosiglitazone was shown only at very high doses and these were not blocked by a specific PPAR-γ antagonist. In two small clinical studies of rosiglitazone in patients with Cushing’s disease, normalization of UFC levels was achieved in 37.5% of patients (9/24), whereas in one study of pioglitazone in five patients with Cushing’s disease, no patients achieved UFC normalization [50,53,54]. More data are required not only for efficacy, but also to address the long-term safety of rosiglitazone in these patients, particularly in light of the recent report that rosiglitazone is associated with a significant increase in the risk of myocardial infarction in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus [55].

The dopamine D3 receptor is expressed in approximately 75% of corticotroph adenomas [56]. Both bromocriptine and cabergoline have shown in vitro inhibition of ACTH secretion in corticotroph tumor cells [56-58], suggesting they may be effective in patients with Cushing’s disease. Miller and Crapo [42] summarized the results of small studies of patients with Cushing’s disease (n = 1-10) treated with bromocriptine. Of 23 patients
with Cushing’s disease treated with bromocriptine 1.25-30 mg/day for 3-180 weeks, 42% achieved normalization of urine or plasma glucocorticoid levels [42], and ACTH levels decreased by more than 50% in 18% of patients. Subsequent consecutive studies on the effects of bromocriptine in patients with Cushing’s disease did not confirm relevant efficacy. In a recent study of 20 patients with persistent Cushing’s disease treated with cabergoline 1-3 mg/week post surgery, 7 patients (35%) achieved normalized UFC levels after 3 months of treatment, and an additional 8 (40%) achieved a ≥25% reduction in UFC [59]. After 1 year of cabergoline 1-7 mg/week (median dose 6 mg/week), 50% of patients had normalized UFC, whereas at 2 years at a median dose of 3.5 mg/week, 40% of patients had normalized UFC [59]. These promising results need confirming in larger studies in patients with Cushing’s disease.

Human corticotroph adenomas also express multiple somatostatin receptor subtypes (sst receptors), with expression of sst1, predominating [60]. Octreotide has high affinity for sst2 and moderate affinity for sst5, and is mostly ineffective in Cushing’s disease [61-63]. This lack of effect in corticotroph cells may be explained by down-regulation of sst2 receptors [64]. The novel multi-receptor tagged somatostatin analogue pasireotide has high affinity for sst1, sst2, sst3 and sst5. The functional activity of pasireotide (based on EC50 values) on sst1, sst3 and sst5 is >30-fold, 11-fold and 158-fold higher, respectively, than that of octreotide, and is approximately 7-fold lower on sst2 [65]. In preclinical studies, pasireotide has shown significant inhibition of basal and stimulated ACTH release in human ACTH-secreting pituitary adenomas [66,67] and in AtT-20 murine corticotroph tumor cells [66,68], as well as significant inhibition of corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH)-induced ACTH release in rats (Figure 1) [69,70].

Results from a short-term, open-label Phase II study of pasireotide showed that it has the potential for being a pituitary-targeted medical treatment for Cushing’s disease. Of 29 patients with de novo or persistent Cushing’s disease who received pasireotide 600 μg sc bid for 15 days, UFC levels decreased in 76% of patients and normalized in 17% of patients (Figure 2) [71]. Adverse events associated with pasireotide were consistent with those observed with other somatostatin analogues, and included predominantly mild gastrointestinal events and mostly transient increases in blood glucose levels. To further determine the role of pasireotide in patients with de novo, persistent or recurrent Cushing’s disease, a Phase III, randomized (between two doses), double-blind, multicenter trial is ongoing.

The potential for interaction between somatostatin and dopamine receptors to achieve greater suppression of ACTH levels is being explored with the development of chimeric agents. BIM 23A760 is one such agent, with high sst2 and D2 activity and moderate sst5 activity [30,31]. Observation of a high co-expression of sst5 and D2 in the majority of human corticotroph adenomas studied supports the potential for this agent in the treatment of Cushing’s disease; clinical evaluation has not yet been performed [72].

Other approaches
Mifepristone is the only available glucocorticoid receptor antagonist. Although clinical data are currently limited in patients with Cushing’s disease, early clinical data have demonstrated effective treatment of hypercortisolism, but close monitoring of potentially severe hypokalemia, hypertension, and clinical signs of adrenal insufficiency is required [73,74].

Retinoic acid has been shown to be potentially useful in decreasing corticotroph secretion and proliferation in rodent models, and more recently in a dog model of Cushing’s disease [75]. However, the effective dose used in dogs is high and clinical trial results in humans are not currently available.

Conclusions
Current adrenal-directed medical treatments for Cushing’s disease have significant adverse effects and do not affect the underlying disease or restore normal HPA dynamics. Pituitary-directed medical therapy would instead target the underlying cause of the disease and should be pursued. Although PPAR-γ agonists have demonstrated some efficacy in Cushing’s disease, data are limited and there is currently no routine role for these agents. A small, short-term study suggests that cabergoline may be effective in treating a subset of patients with Cushing’s disease, but more data are required to address the long-term safety of cabergoline in these patients. Pasireotide has shown promise as a pituitary-directed...
medical therapy in Cushing’s disease in a Phase II study. Long-term efficacy and safety data from Phase III studies will determine whether pasireotide will have a role in the treatment of Cushing’s disease.

Management of acromegaly
Acromegaly results from chronic hypersecretion of growth hormone (GH) from a GH-secreting pituitary adenoma in >90% of patients [76]. GH induces the synthesis of insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1) via hepatic GH receptors; elevated levels of GH and IGF-1 result in metabolic dysfunction and somatic growth. The classic clinical features of acromegaly include acral enlargement, sweating, headaches and glucose intolerance, and chronically unrestrained GH hypersecretion can lead to jaw prognathism, osteoarthritis, frontal bone bossing, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, and respiratory and cardiac failure [77-80]. Compared with the general population, patients with acromegaly have 2.4- to 4.8-fold higher risk of mortality [77,81,82].

The overall goals in the management of acromegaly are to eliminate morbidity and restore the increased mortality rate to normal age- and sex-adjusted rates [81-83]. These goals can be achieved using treatments that remove the tumor mass or reduce and/or control tumor growth, and restore GH secretion to normal. Reducing GH levels has been known to reduce mortality rates for some time [84], and the target GH level has been lowered progressively as more data are acquired. Currently, the criteria for cure of acromegaly are GH levels less than 0.4 μg/L after an oral glucose load using a sensitive GH assay, and IGF-1 levels within the normal range [83]. Therapeutic goals in acromegaly are to reduce and/or stabilize tumor size, control plasma GH and IGF-1 levels (fasting GH levels <2.5 μg/L and IGF-1 levels within the normal range), preserve pituitary function and prevent recurrences. Treatment modalities for acromegaly include transsphenoidal surgery, medical therapy and radiotherapy.

Figure 2 Phase II study of 29 patients with de novo or persistent Cushing’s disease receiving pasireotide 600 μg sc bid for 15 days. Mean UFC level at baseline and study end (day 15) in each patient (n = 29) are shown. The normal range for UFC is 55-276 nmol/24 h (20-100 μg/24 h); the dashed line indicates the upper limit of the normal range. Responding patients (defined as having a UFC level within the normal range at study end) are indicated by the arrows [71]. © 2009 The Endocrine Society. Reproduced with permission.
**Pituitary surgery**

Transsphenoidal surgery is considered the first-line treatment for the initial management of patients with acromegaly, particularly patients with microadenomas who are likely to be cured after surgical resection [85]. Remission rates are highest in patients with microadenomas (75%) and lowest in those with extrasellar macroadenomas; the remission rate in patients with a suprasellar macroadenoma and visual defects is approximately 33% [86]. When the surgery is performed by an experienced and pituitary dedicated neurosurgeon, transsphenoidal surgery has the potential to achieve biochemical cure and is associated with low mortality (<0.1%) and morbidity (<2%). However, approximately 70% of patients harbor macroadenomas; the majority of these patients will have persistent disease following surgery and will require adjuvant medical therapy or radiotherapy [86].

**Radiotherapy**

Conventional external beam radiotherapy has a slow onset of action, taking up to 15 years for maximal disease control, causes hypopituitarism in about 50% of patients and increases cerebrovascular mortality [87]. Stereotactic radiotherapy administered in a single dose (gamma knife, proton beam and LINAC) appears to have a faster onset of action with less hypopituitarism, although long-term data are limited [88,89]. Radiotherapy for persistent disease following surgery normalizes IGF-1 levels in up to 59% of patients depending on the series. By about 5 years after treatment, approximately one-third of patients will have developed pituitary deficiencies, and this is likely to increase with longer follow-up [90,91]. Indications for radiotherapy are post-surgical patients resistant or intolerant to medical therapy, and in recurrent, aggressive tumors.

**Medical therapy**

The three classes of medical therapy for acromegaly are based on receptor targets of the GH/IGF-1 axis: pituitary somatostatin receptor subtypes, pituitary dopamine D2 receptors, and peripheral GH receptors [76,85]. Approximately 90% of GH-secreting adenomas express sst2 and sst5; upon stimulation by a sst ligand, these receptors signal the pituitary to suppress the secretion of GH, resulting in decreased hepatic IGF-1 synthesis. More recently, it has been suggested that a sst ligand may also act peripherally on the GH/IGF-1 axis by binding to somatostatin receptors on peripheral organs, such as hepatocytes in the liver, to inhibit the secretion of IGF-1 [92]. Dopamine agonists bind to pituitary D2 receptors, and although the exact mechanism by which dopamine agonists inhibit GH secretion from pituitary adenoma cells is not known, it is thought they act by decreasing the intracellular calcium concentration [85,93]. Thirdly, antagonism of peripheral GH receptors blocks the action of GH at the receptor which inhibits the synthesis of IGF-1 and lowers serum levels; GH levels remain elevated [94], but are blocked by the medication.

Somatostatin analogues are the first choice for the medical treatment of acromegaly in most patients. Octreotide and lanreotide are synthetic analogues of somatostatin that selectively bind to sst2 and to a lesser extent sst5 (Table 2), and have more prolonged pharmacological actions than the endogenous hormone.

Depot formulations of these analogues, octreotide LAR, lanreotide SR and lanreotide Autogel, have improved the clinical application of these compounds; octreotide LAR and lanreotide Autogel are administered once every 28 days, whereas lanreotide SR is administered once every 7–14 days.

Up to 75% of patients treated for 12–36 months with octreotide LAR as adjuvant therapy achieve control of GH levels and/or IGF-1 levels [95]. In a meta-analysis by Freda et al, 57% and 67% of patients treated with octreotide LAR as adjuvant therapy achieved GH levels <2.5 μg/L and IGF-1 normalization, respectively. In patients treated with lanreotide SR as adjuvant therapy, GH levels <2.5 μg/L and IGF-1 normalization was achieved in 48% and 47% of patients, respectively [96]. There are fewer data available for lanreotide Autogel, but it has been shown to be as least effective as lanreotide SR when used as adjuvant therapy in patients with acromegaly [97].

First-line therapy with somatostatin analogues in patients with previously untreated acromegaly has received considerable attention since Newman et al showed that primary therapy with subcutaneous octreotide was as effective as adjuvant therapy with subcutaneous octreotide (following surgery or radiotherapy) at controlling GH and IGF-1 levels in patients with large or invasive tumors without visual or neurological distur-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2: Somatostatin receptor subtype (sst) binding affinities of somatostatin and analogues in nmol/L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sst&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somatostatin-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Octreotide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lanreotide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasireotide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
bances [98]. Most studies of first-line therapy of acromegaly with somatostatin analogues evaluated octreotide LAR, and recent studies have demonstrated that first-line therapy with octreotide LAR reduces GH and IGF-1 levels to a similar or greater extent than when administered after surgery and/or radiotherapy (Table 3) [99-106], and reduces pituitary tumor size (usually defined as a 10-25% reduction) in most patients (Table 3 and Figure 3) [99-107]. Indeed, results from a prospective, long-term study (up to 9 years) in 67 patients with de novo acromegaly showed that 68.7% and 70.1% of patients treated with octreotide LAR as first-line therapy achieved GH levels <2.5 μg/L and normal IGF-1 levels, respectively, and tumor volume decreased by >25% in 82% of patients [104].

In order to achieve the reversal of comorbidities and to decrease premature mortality in patients with acromegaly, long-term biochemical control is necessary. Recent studies have demonstrated the importance of regularly monitoring of GH and IGF-1 levels during treatment with somatostatin analogues, and that dose escalation should be performed if optimal control (GH <2.5 μg/L and normal IGF-1 levels) has not been achieved. In the recent study by Colao et al [103], in which 56 newly diagnosed patients received octreotide LAR as first-line therapy for 24 months, after 3 months of treatment with octreotide LAR 20 mg/month the dose was increased to 30 mg/month in patients with inadequate control of GH (GH >2.5 μg/L) and/or IGF-1 levels. After a further 9 months, the dose was increased to 40 mg/month in those patients who still remained inadequately controlled. At the end of the 24-month study, 80% of patients had achieved GH and IGF-1 control, and mean GH and IGF-1 levels had decreased by 93% and 63%, respectively. Moreover, 85% of the patients had achieved a tumor volume reduction of >25% (Table 3) [103].

Suitable candidates for first-line therapy with somatostatin analogues might include patients with no risk of visual impairment from the tumor, patients with tumors unlikely to be controlled by surgery (eg lateral cavernous sinus invasion), patients who are at high surgical risk, and patients who decline surgery [83].

Pasireotide is also being evaluated in patients with acromegaly [69,108,109]. Based on the differences in binding affinity and functional activity of pasireotide and octreotide, it can be speculated that in cells and tissues that express sst receptors other than sst2, pasireotide may

![Figure 3](image_url)

**Figure 3** Effect of somatostatin analogues on pituitary tumor size in patients with acromegaly, showing the percentage of patients with >10% tumor volume reduction after adjuvant or first-line therapy [107]. © 2005 The Endocrine Society. Reproduced with permission.

### Table 3: Summary of results from studies of first-line therapy with octreotide LAR in patients with acromegaly

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>No of pts</th>
<th>Duration of treatment</th>
<th>Patients meeting criterion for GH control (%)</th>
<th>Patients with IGF-1 normalization (%)</th>
<th>Mean tumor shrinkage (%)</th>
<th>% of patients with significant tumor shrinkage (definition of significant)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colao et al 2001 [101]</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12-24 months</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>80 (&gt;20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amato et al 2002 [99]</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>24 months</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>100 (&gt;10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ayuk et al 2004 [100]</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>48 weeks</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jallad et al 2005 [105]</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>6-24 months</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>76 (&gt;25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colao et al 2006 [102]</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>54 (median)</td>
<td>74 (&gt;30%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cozzi et al 2006 [104]</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>6-108 months</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>82 (&gt;25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercado et al 2007 [106]</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>48 weeks</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>75 (&gt;20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colao et al 2007 [103]</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>24 months</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colao et al 2008 [127]</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>85 (&gt;25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colao et al 2008 [128]</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>48 weeks</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>73 (&gt;20%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NR = not reported
The addition of pegvisomant to somatostatin analogue therapy may increase the proportion of patients achieving normal IGF-1 levels, offset the lack of effect of pegvisomant on tumor growth, and improve the quality of life of patients with adequately controlled disease during somatostatin analogue monotherapy [119-121]. Although pegvisomant therapy is generally well tolerated, it is associated with elevated liver enzymes in a small proportion of patients and liver transaminase levels need to be monitored during therapy. Although rare, lipodystrophy at the site of injection has been reported during pegvisomant therapy, suggesting patients should undergo examination of the injection site [122]. Additional studies are required to ascertain the long-term safety of pegvisomant.

**Conclusions**

Surgery remains the first-line treatment option in patients with GH-secreting tumors. Somatostatin analogues may be used as first-line therapy in selected patients with large extrasellar tumors not amenable to surgical cure, in patients at high surgical risk, and in patients who decline surgery. Adjuvant therapy with somatostatin analogues is indicated after non-curative surgery and after radiotherapy when GH levels remain elevated. Pegvisomant is typically used in patients who have not responded to surgery and/or radiotherapy and other medical therapies, or in patients in whom glucose control may play a role in the selection of medication (depending on local approval), because it can improve insulin resistance. Dopamine agonists can be considered in patients with a prolactin co-secreting adenoma.

**Summary**

- First-line treatment of patients with prolactinomas is pharmacotherapy with dopamine agonists; surgery is indicated in the few patients with resistant adenomas who have compromise of the neurovisual apparatus or other adjacent neurological structures, or with contraindications to dopamine agonist use. Radiotherapy is indicated for selected patients, such as those who have not responded to medical or surgical treatment.
- First-line treatment of patients with Cushing’s disease is pituitary surgery by an expert pituitary surgeon. Results from early, small studies evaluating pituitary-directed medical therapy, such as pasireotide and cabergoline, are encouraging, but further study is needed. Additional approaches include radiation therapy and adrenal-directed therapy, as well as bilateral laparoscopic adrenalectomy for immediate remission of hypercortisolism when other approaches have failed and patients remain intolerant or incompletely treated.
- First-line treatment of patients with acromegaly is pituitary surgery when the outcome of the surgery is likely to be complete resection of the pituitary adenoma, or to debulk significant tumor, particularly if neurological
structures are in jeopardy. Somatostatin analogues may be used as first-line therapy for acromegaly in selected patients with large extrasellar tumors not amenable to surgical cure, frail patients, patients at high surgical risk, and patients who decline surgery.
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