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Abstract

Background: Physical activity and exercise appear to improve psychological health. However, the quantitative effects of Tai Chi on psychological well-being have rarely been examined. We systematically reviewed the effects of Tai Chi on stress, anxiety, depression and mood disturbance in eastern and western populations.

Methods: Eight English and 3 Chinese databases were searched through March 2009. Randomized controlled trials, non-randomized controlled studies and observational studies reporting at least 1 psychological health outcome were examined. Data were extracted and verified by 2 reviewers. The randomized trials in each subcategory of health outcomes were meta-analyzed using a random-effects model. The quality of each study was assessed.

Results: Forty studies totaling 3817 subjects were identified. Approximately 29 psychological measurements were assessed. Twenty-one of 33 randomized and nonrandomized trials reported that 1 hour to 1 year of regular Tai Chi significantly increased psychological well-being including reduction of stress (effect size [ES], 0.66; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.23 to 1.09), anxiety (ES, 0.66; 95% CI, 0.29 to 1.03), and depression (ES, 0.56; 95% CI, 0.31 to 0.80), and enhanced mood (ES, 0.45; 95% CI, 0.20 to 0.69) in community-dwelling healthy participants and in patients with chronic conditions. Seven observational studies with relatively large sample sizes reinforced the beneficial association between Tai Chi practice and psychological health.

Conclusions: Tai Chi appears to be associated with improvements in psychological well-being including reduced stress, anxiety, depression and mood disturbance, and increased self-esteem. Definitive conclusions were limited due to variation in designs, comparisons, heterogeneous outcomes and inadequate controls. High-quality, well-controlled, longer randomized trials are needed to better inform clinical decisions.

Background

Mental illness affects 450 million people worldwide with 25% of the population affected in their lifetimes[1]. It is a leading cause of disability for people aged 15-44[2]. A growing list of psychological states including stress, anxiety, depression and mood disturbance have been linked to many chronic disorders such as coronary heart disease, cancer, diabetes and mental disorders as well as to accidents [3,4]. Mental illness poses significant economic burdens to those involved, reduces productivity and increases health care costs[5]. Thus, there is an urgent need for inexpensive and effective strategies to promote psychological well-being and improve general health status, especially for people with chronic conditions.

Over the past decade, evidence from epidemiological studies and clinical trials has demonstrated a positive association between physical fitness and psychological health. Numerous studies have shown that physical activity and exercise as well as mind-body practice reduce morbidity and mortality for coronary heart disease, hypertension, obesity, diabetes and osteoporosis, and improve the psychological status of the general population [6-10].

Tai Chi, a form of Chinese low impact mind-body exercise, has been practiced for centuries for health and fitness in the East and is currently gaining popularity in the West. Our previous investigations have shown that Tai Chi has potential benefits in treating a variety of chronic
conditions [11-13]. Significant improvement has been reported in balance, strength, flexibility, cardiovascular and respiratory function, as well as pain reduction and improved quality of life [11]. Several recent reviews have suggested that Tai Chi appears to improve mood and enhance overall psychological well-being [11,14,15]. However, convincing quantitative evidence to estimate treatment effects has been lacking. No meta-analysis addressing any psychological outcomes with Tai Chi has ever been published. To better inform patients and physicians, we systematically reviewed the quantitative and qualitative relationship between Tai Chi and psychological health outcomes (stress, anxiety, depression, mood and self-esteem) by critically appraising and synthesizing the evidence from all published studies of healthy and chronically ill populations in the East and West.

Methods
Data sources and searches
We conducted a comprehensive computerized search of the medical literature using 8 English databases: MEDLINE (from 1950), PsycINFO (from 1806), CAB (from 1910), Health Star (from 1966), Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (from 1991), CINAHL (from 1982), Global Health (from 1910) and Alt HealthWatch (from 1969). We also searched 3 major Chinese databases recommended by domain experts in evidence-based medicine in China. These included: China Hospital Knowledge Database (from 1994), China National Knowledge Infrastructure (from 1915) and WanFang Data (from 1980) through March 2009. We also searched reference lists of selected articles and reviews. The search terms for our review included "Tai Chi", "Tai Chi Chuan", "Tai Chi Chih", "ta"i chi," "tai ji," "Tai Ji Quan", and "taiji-juan".

Study selection
Published articles that reported original data of randomized controlled trials (RCT), non-randomized comparison studies (NRS) and observational studies (OBS)[11] were eligible if they clearly defined a Tai Chi intervention [16]. We considered English and Chinese publications with at least 10 human subjects and evaluation of at least 1 of the following psychological health outcomes: (1) Psychological stress—an imbalance between perceived capabilities and situational demands with manifestations in emotional states, as well as physiological, psychological and behavioral responses; (2) Anxiety—an emotional state, characterized by a cognitive component (e.g. worry, self-doubt and apprehension) and a somatic component (e.g. heightened awareness of physiological responses such as heart rate, sweaty palms and tension); (3) Depression—a depressive state diagnosed with standard instruments and/or clinical interviews; (4) Mood—a pervasive and sustained emotion that colors the perception of the world; (5) Self-esteem—a awareness of good processed by an individual and a representation of how positive one feels about oneself in general [17-19]. We excluded articles such as reviews, case reports, and conference proceedings that did not provide primary data.

Data extraction and quality assessment
We assessed the characteristics of the original research and extracted data based on study design; demographics; type and duration of Tai Chi exercise and controls; the psychological measures of stress, depression, anxiety, mood and self-esteem; results and/or the authors' main conclusions. When data were not provided in publications, we contacted the authors for information. Two reviewers extracted data and assessed trial quality of each study independently. Interrater reliability was satisfactory ($r \geq 90$). The methodological quality for the RCTs was evaluated based on the Jadad instrument [20], which takes into account whether a study described randomization, blinding, and withdrawals/dropouts.

Assessment of effect sizes and statistical analysis
When data were reported, we computed effect sizes (ES) in each study separately for stress, anxiety, depression and mood. ES was determined by calculating the standardized mean difference between groups. Overall outcome was assessed by pooling the ES of each study.

We calculated Hedges' g score for each study as a measure of ES. To correct for small sample size bias we computed the bias-corrected Hedges' g score for each measure. The magnitude of the ES (clinical effects) indicates: 0-0.19 = negligible effect, 0.20-0.49 = small effect, 0.50-0.79 = moderate effect, 0.80(+) = large effect. RCTs used the difference between the treatment and control group means. NRS used within-group difference between pretreatment and post-treatment means. In studies that involved more than one active intervention, we restricted our analyses to the Tai Chi and control groups. In view of significant heterogeneity, random-effect models were used for pooling. Heterogeneity was estimated with the $I^2$ statistic for both RCTs and NRS. All analyses were conducted using Meta-Analyst 3.13 statistical software (Tufts Medical Center, Boston, MA) [21].

Results
We reviewed 2579 English and Chinese articles and retrieved 61 full-text articles for detailed evaluation (Figure 1). Twenty-one studies were eliminated for not reporting original or relevant psychological outcome data. Ultimately, forty studies were identified for data abstraction and critical appraisal. Our search did not identify any unpublished literature.
Table 1 lists the study design and number of studies and participants for each psychological domain. Table 2 describes the 40 studies including 17 RCTs, sixteen NRS and 7 OBS published between 1980 and 2009. They were conducted in 6 countries (USA, China, France, Germany, UK and Australia). There were 3072 healthy individuals (25 studies) and 745 patients with chronic conditions (14 studies); no data were available in 1 study [22]. Mean age ranged from 11 to 92 years, and 62% of participants were female. Various controls were compared among the 17 RCTs, with 6 studies having multiple types of controls. Fourteen of the 16 NRS were self-comparisons while 2 used routine activity as controls. Three of the 7 OBS used routine activity, two used aerobic activity, one was a self-comparison and 1 used the general population as comparisons.

Nine RCTs reported on randomization; eight of these described an appropriate method, one an inappropriate one. Ten RCTs reported on blinding; in all 10, outcome assessors were blinded. Twelve studies described withdrawals and dropouts. Dropout rates were high with 4 studies reporting dropouts ≥ 25%, and 3 others that reported attrition of 32%, 35%, and 47%. Eleven NRS and 6 OBS reported dropouts, with high rates listed for 3 NRS, reporting 57%, 43% and 33% (Table 2).

In all, we included 21 trials (12 RCTs and 9 NRS) of 33 that provided data on psychological quantitative measures in the meta-analysis. The 7 OBS were not included in the meta-analysis. Figure 2 displays the overall effects of Tai Chi on stress, anxiety, depression and mood. Table 3 qualitatively assesses the 19 studies that were excluded from the meta-analysis, showing study characteristics, methodology quality, and psychological outcomes. We describe below results for each outcome separately for studies that provided data for meta-analysis and those excluded from meta-analysis.
Tai Chi and Stress

Five RCTs, five NRS and 1 OBS, conducted in 4 countries (USA, Australia, Germany and China) reported the effects of Tai Chi on stress in 870 participants with ages ranging from 16 to 85 years (Table 2). Most studies employed subjective stress measures, including the Depression, Anxiety, Stress Scale [23], Exercise Experiences Scale [24], Self-Perceived Stress score [25], Perceived Mental Stress score, Functional Assessment of HIV Infection [26], Impact of Event Scale [27], Perceived Stress Scale [28], and the Chinese Psychological Stress Scores. Two objective measures collected were body temperature[29] and salivary cortisol levels [30,31].

Meta-analysis results

Four RCTs and 4 NRS with 444 participants assessed the effects of Tai Chi on stress in individuals with HIV [32,33], elderly with symptomatic hip or knee osteoarthritis [34], healthy participants [29,35-37], and elderly with cardiovascular disease risk factors [38]. Tai Chi was performed between 10 and 24 weeks (60 to 120 minutes, 1 to 4 times per week). We found statistically significant improvements in stress management and psychological distress (ES, 0.66; 95% CI, 0.23 to 1.09) (Figure 2a), with an I² = 82%. This result remained significant upon dropping the study with the largest effect [29].

Studies not in meta-analysis

Three studies on stress were not included in the meta-analysis because 1 RCT and 1 NRS treated participants with Tai Chi practice for only one hour [39,40], and the third was an OBS[41] (Table 3). The RCT with 96 healthy adults showed significantly decreased levels of stress in all groups after one hour of intervention (Tai Chi, meditation, brisk walking and neutral reading) [39]. The NRS also reported a single one-hour Tai Chi intervention that significantly reduced stress in healthy adults [40]. The OBS using a Chinese psychological stress questionnaire with 76 healthy Chinese elderly reported that 5 years of regular Tai Chi experience (>30 minutes and >3 times per week) significantly improved stress compared with less physical activity (<30 minutes and <3 times per week). This study, however, found no statistically significant difference between Tai Chi and regular activities of the same duration and frequency [41].

Summary

Overall, Tai Chi was positively associated with improved in stress levels in healthy adults, patients with HIV-related distress and elderly Chinese with cardiovascular disease risk factors [29,32,33,35-41]. However, the overall study quality was modest with inadequate or no controls in the majority of studies.

Table 1: Summary of reviewed 40 Tai Chi studies (a)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Psychological Profiles</th>
<th>Study Design and Number of Studies and Participantsb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mood</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-Esteem</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations:

N = number of studies. RCT = randomized controlled trial; NRS = nonrandomized comparison study; OBS = observational study.

Notes:

Study site: United States (n = 20); China (n = 11); Australia (n = 6); United Kingdom (n = 1); Germany (n = 1); France (n = 1). Most studies assessed more than one psychological well-being state (the numbers add up to more than 40.)

Study design:
RCT (N = 17): The investigator manipulates use of the procedure by offering it to one group of people (the exposed group), and offering nothing, a placebo, or some other procedure (s) to another group (the control group) by random allocation. Both groups are then followed to observe the rates of various outcomes.
NRS (N = 16 before and after trials and 2 external comparisons): The investigator causes some subjects to be exposed to the procedure, but does not use random allocation to determine the exposure that includes both an "internal comparison (before and after)" and an "external comparison (unexposed group)."
OBS (N = 7, cross-sectional nature): The investigator does not manipulate use of the procedure, but merely observes and interprets the outcomes.
Table 2: Characteristics of 40 articles published in 1980-2009 on Tai Chi and psychological status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Referencea</th>
<th>Mean age, yr</th>
<th>Population, n</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Intervention Frequency, style</th>
<th>Psychological Status Measured</th>
<th>Methodological Quality</th>
<th>Randomizationb</th>
<th>Outcome Assessors</th>
<th>(% dropout)d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tai Chi</strong></td>
<td><strong>Control(s)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Measured</strong></td>
<td><strong>Methodological Quality</strong></td>
<td><strong>Randomizationb</strong></td>
<td><strong>Outcome Assessors</strong></td>
<td><strong>Blindedc</strong></td>
<td><strong>(% dropout)d</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al, 2009, USA</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Individuals with FM, 60</td>
<td>12 wks</td>
<td>1 hr, 2×/wk (classical Yang style)</td>
<td>Attention control</td>
<td>Depression (CES-D)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dechamps et al, 2009, France</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>Sedentary obese women, 21</td>
<td>10 wks</td>
<td>2 hr, 1×/wk (Yang style)</td>
<td>Exercise Program</td>
<td>Depression (BDI)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang, 2008, USA</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Functional class I or II RA, 20</td>
<td>12 wks</td>
<td>1 hr, 2×/wk (classical Yang style)</td>
<td>Attention control</td>
<td>Depression (CES-D)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al, 2008, USA</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>Elderly with symptomatic KOA, 40</td>
<td>12 wks</td>
<td>1 hr, 2×/wk (classical Yang style)</td>
<td>Attention control</td>
<td>Depression (CES-D)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCain et al, 2008, USA</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Individuals with HIV infection, 252</td>
<td>10 wks</td>
<td>90 min, 1×/wk (focused short form of Tai Chi with 8 movements)</td>
<td>1. Cognitive-behavioral relaxation training 2. Spiritual growth 3. Wait List</td>
<td>Stress (IES, Salivary Cortisol Level, Mood and Emotion (FAHI))</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fransen et al, 2007, Australia</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Elderly with symptomatic hip or KOA, 152</td>
<td>12 wks</td>
<td>1 hr, 2×/wk (modified 24 forms Sun style)</td>
<td>1. Hydrotherapy 2. Wait List</td>
<td>Stress, Anxiety, Depression (DASS 21)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irwin et al, 2007, USA</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Healthy elderly adults, 112</td>
<td>16 wks</td>
<td>40 min, 3×/wk (unspecified style)</td>
<td>Health education</td>
<td>Depression (BDI)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sattin et al, 2005, USA</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>Elderly transitioning to frailty, 311</td>
<td>48 wks</td>
<td>60-90 min progression, 2×/wk (6 of the 24 simplified TC forms)</td>
<td>Wellness education</td>
<td>Depression (CES-D)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>29.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galantino et al, 2005, USA</td>
<td>(20-60)</td>
<td>Advanced HIV/AIDS, 38</td>
<td>8 wks</td>
<td>1 hr, 2×/wk (unspecified style)</td>
<td>1. Aerobic exercise 2. Usual activity</td>
<td>Anxiety (POMS)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>25.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chou et al, 2004, China</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>Elderly with depression, 14</td>
<td>12 wks</td>
<td>45 min, 3×/wk (18 form of Yang style)</td>
<td>Waiting list</td>
<td>Depression (CES-D-Chinese Version)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mustian et al, 2004, USA</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Breast cancer survivors, 21</td>
<td>12 wks</td>
<td>1 hr, 3×/wk (15 short form Yang style)</td>
<td>Psychosocial support therapy</td>
<td>Self-Esteem (RSE)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>32.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Characteristics of 40 articles published in 1980-2009 on Tai Chi and psychological status (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Sample Description</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Interventions</th>
<th>Control Group</th>
<th>Outcome Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tsai et al, 2003, China</td>
<td>Healthy elderly, 76</td>
<td>12 wks</td>
<td>50 min, 3×/wk (108 postures Yang style)</td>
<td>Sedentary life control</td>
<td>Anxiety (STAI) IA Y 13.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li et al, 2001, USA</td>
<td>Healthy elderly, 72</td>
<td>24 wks</td>
<td>1 hr, 2×/wk (classical Yang style)</td>
<td>Waiting list</td>
<td>Stress (SEES) Depression (CES-D) Mood and Emotion (PANAS) ND ND 26.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kutner et al, 1997, USA</td>
<td>Healthy elderly 130</td>
<td>15 wks</td>
<td>1 hr, 2×/wk (10 modified forms Yang style)</td>
<td>1. education control 2. balance training</td>
<td>Self-Esteem (RSE) ND ND 35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sun et al, 1996, USA</td>
<td>Healthy elderly Hmong immigrants, 20</td>
<td>12 wks</td>
<td>2 hrs, 1×/wk for 10 sessions (unspecified style)</td>
<td>Routine physical activity</td>
<td>Stress (SPS, Body Temperature) ND ND 0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown et al, 1995, USA</td>
<td>Healthy adults, 135</td>
<td>16 wks</td>
<td>45 min, 3×/wk (unspecified style-&quot;mindful exercise&quot;)</td>
<td>1. moderate intensity walk 2. low intensity walk 3. low intensity walk &amp; relaxation 4. usual lifestyle behaviors</td>
<td>Anxiety, Depression, Mood and Emotion (STAI, POMS, Tukey multiple comparison test, LSES, PANAS) Self-Esteem (RSE, SPES and BCS) ND ND 25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jin, 1992, Australia</td>
<td>Healthy adults, 96</td>
<td>1 hr</td>
<td>Single 1 hr session (long form, Yang style or Wu variation of Yang style)</td>
<td>1. TC meditation 2. brisk walking 3. neutral reading</td>
<td>Stress (Salivary Cortisol Level) Anxiety (STAI Y-1) Mood and Emotion (POMS) ND Y ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

16 Non-Randomized Comparison Studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Sample Description</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Interventions</th>
<th>Control Group</th>
<th>Outcome Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lee et al, 2007, Hong Kong, China</td>
<td>Healthy elderly, 139</td>
<td>26 wks</td>
<td>1 hr, 3×/wk (unspecified style)</td>
<td>Usual activity</td>
<td>Self-Esteem (SSES-Chinese Version) N ND ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esch et al, 2007, Germany</td>
<td>Healthy young adults, 9</td>
<td>14 wks</td>
<td>90 min, 1×/wk for 12 sessions (Yang style)</td>
<td>Self-comparison</td>
<td>Stress (Perceived Mental Stress (VAS), Salivary Cortisol level) N ND 57.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robins et al, 2006, USA</td>
<td>HIV disease, 59</td>
<td>10 wks</td>
<td>1 hr, 1×/wk (8 movements short form, unspecified style)</td>
<td>Self-comparison</td>
<td>Stress (FAHI, IES) N ND ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor-Piliae et al, 2006, USA</td>
<td>Elderly Chinese with CVD risk factors, 38</td>
<td>12 wks</td>
<td>1 hr, 3×/wk (24-posture short form, Yang style)</td>
<td>Self-comparison</td>
<td>Stress (PSS) Anxiety, Depression, Mood and Emotion (POMS) N ND 2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen et al, 2005, China</td>
<td>Healthy elderly, 30</td>
<td>24 wks</td>
<td>1 hr, 4×/wk (simplified 24 forms Yang style &amp; 42 forms TC sword)</td>
<td>Self-comparison</td>
<td>Stress, Anxiety (STAI, POMS) Depression, Mood and Emotion (POMS) N ND ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Characteristics of 40 articles published in 1980-2009 on Tai Chi and psychological status (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Year, Country</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Intervention Details</th>
<th>Outcome Measures</th>
<th>Effect Size</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wall, 2005, USA</td>
<td>(11-13)</td>
<td>Healthy children, 11</td>
<td>5 wks</td>
<td>1 hr, 1×/wk (traditional segments of Yang style &amp; MBSR)</td>
<td>Self-comparison Anxiety (Written subjective statements)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li, 2004, China</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Healthy college students, 66</td>
<td>24 wks</td>
<td>1 hr, 3×/wk (unspecified style)</td>
<td>Self-comparison Anxiety, Depression (SCL-90)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taggart et al., 2003, USA</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Individuals with FM, 21</td>
<td>6 wks</td>
<td>1 hr, 2×/wk (Yang style short form)</td>
<td>Self-comparison Anxiety, Depression (FITQ)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hernandez-Reif et al, 2001, USA</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Adolescents with ADHD, 13</td>
<td>5 wks</td>
<td>30 min, 2×/wk (unspecified style)</td>
<td>Self-comparison Anxiety, Mood and Emotion (CTRS-R)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mills et al, 2000, UK</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Adults with MS, 8</td>
<td>8 wks</td>
<td>6 individual sessions (unspecified style or duration)</td>
<td>Self-comparison Anxiety, Depression (POMS)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ross et al, 1999, USA</td>
<td>(68-92)</td>
<td>Healthy elderly, 13</td>
<td>8 wks</td>
<td>1 hr, 3×/wk (unspecified style)</td>
<td>Self-comparison Mood and Emotion (MAACL-R-composite score)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen &amp; Sun, 1997, USA</td>
<td>(50-74)</td>
<td>Healthy adults, 28</td>
<td>16 wks</td>
<td>1 hr, 2×/wk, (simplified 24 forms)</td>
<td>Routine activity Anxiety (TIMAS and SAI)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gibb et al, 1997, Australia</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Elderly with dementia (56%) or AD patients (44%), 9</td>
<td>7 wks</td>
<td>13 sessions 2×/wk (unspecified style)</td>
<td>Self-comparison Mood and Emotion (Biographical Self Reflection Program)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fu et al, 1996, Australia</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Healthy students &amp; teachers, 90</td>
<td>1 yr</td>
<td>30 min, 6×/wk (simplified 24 forms)</td>
<td>Self-comparison Mood and Emotion (Records from self-report program)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jin, 1989, Australia</td>
<td>(16-75)</td>
<td>Healthy adults with TC experience, 66</td>
<td>1 hr</td>
<td>Single 1 hr session (Long Form Yang style or Wu variation of Yang style)</td>
<td>Self-comparison Stress (Salivary Cortisol Level), Depression (POMS) Anxiety (STAI) Mood and Emotion (POMS)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mack, 1980, Australia</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Afro-American males, ND</td>
<td>24 wks</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Self-comparison Mood and Emotion (no test reported)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Characteristics of 40 articles published in 1980-2009 on Tai Chi and psychological status (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observational Studies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chen et al, 2006, China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang &amp; Wang, 2004, China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yang et al, 2004, China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond et al, 2002, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen et al, 2001, Taiwan, China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu &amp; Zhang, 2000, China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long et al, 2000, China</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: yr = year; n = only evaluated participants included; FM = Fibromyalgia; wk(s) = week(s); hr = hour; CES-D = Center for Epidemiology Studies Depression index; RA = Rheumatoid Arthritis; KOA = Knee Osteoarthritis; DASS 21 = Depression, Anxiety, Stress Scales 21 item questionnaire; min(s) = minute(s); BDI = Beck Depression Inventory; TC = Tai Chi; HIV = Human Immunodeficiency Virus; AIDS = Autoimmune Deficiency Syndrome; POMS = Profile of Mood States; ND = no data; RSE = Rosenberg Self-Esteem scale; STAI = State-Trait Anxiety Inventory; SEES = Subjective Exercise Experience Scale; PANAS = Positive and Negative Affect Schedule; SP5 = Self-Perceived Stress score; LSES = Life Satisfaction in the Elderly Scale; SPES = Sonstroem Physical Examination Scale; BCS = Body Cathexis Score; SS = State Self-Esteem Scale; VAS = Visual Analogue Scale; FAHI = Functional Assessment of HIV Infection; IES = Impact of Events Scale; CVD = Cardiovascular Disease; PSS = Perceived Stress Scale; MBRS = Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction; SCL-90 = Symptom Checklist-90; FIQ = Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire; ADHD = Attention Deficit Hyperactive Disorder; CTRS-R = Conners' Teacher Rating Scale-Revised; MS = Multiple Sclerosis; MAACL-R = Multiple Affect Adjective Checklist-Revised; TEAS = Taylor Manifest Anxiety Scale; SAI = State Anxiety Inventory; AD = Advanced Dementia; SAS = Self-Rating Anxiety Scale; SDS = Self-Rating Depression Scale.

*Studies in bold are meta-analyzed

+Randomization: Was the study described as randomized (this includes the use of words such as randomly, and randomization)? Y = The method to generate the sequence of randomization was described and it was appropriate (table of random numbers, computer generated, etc.); ND = randomization not described; IA = The method to generate the sequence of randomization was described and it was inappropriate (patients were allocated alternately, or according to date of birth, hospital number, etc.); N = non-randomized trial.

+Blinding: Was the study described as blind? (Double-blinding is impractical in Tai Chi studies, our modification gave 1 point for proper single blinding of the outcome assessor.) Y = The method of double blinding was described and it was appropriate (identical placebo, active placebo, dummy, etc.); ND = blinding not described.

+Dropouts and Withdrawals: Was there a description of withdrawals/dropouts? ND = withdrawals/dropouts not described.

+Treatment group compared to Tai Chi in meta-analysis.

+Objective measure.
### Table 3: Effects of Tai Chi on psychological health (19 non-meta-analyzed studies)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Control Group(s)</th>
<th>Psychological Status Measured</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Main Conclusions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>5 Randomized Controlled Trials</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galantino et al, 2005</td>
<td>1. Aerobic exercise 2. Usual activity</td>
<td>Anxiety (POMS)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>Tai Chi decreased tension-anxiety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mustian et al, 2004</td>
<td>Psychosocial support therapy</td>
<td>Self-Esteem (RSE)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>Tai Chi improved self-esteem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kutner et al, 1997</td>
<td>1. Education control 2. Balance training</td>
<td>Self-Esteem (RSE)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>Tai Chi improved self-esteem vs. exercise control and vs. education &amp; balance training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown et al, 1995</td>
<td>1. Moderate intensity walk 2. Low intensity walk 3. Low intensity walk &amp; relaxation 4. Usual lifestyle behaviors</td>
<td>Anxiety, Depression, Mood and Emotion (STAI, POMS, Tukey multiple comparison test, LSES, PANAS) Self-Esteem (RSE, SPES and BCS)</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>Tai Chi improved anxiety, depression, general mood and mood disturbance and negative affect (women)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stress (Salivary Cortisol Level) Anxiety (STAI Y-1) Mood and Emotion (POMS)</td>
<td>&lt;0.005</td>
<td>Tai Chi reduced anxiety vs. neutral reading group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jin, 1992</td>
<td>1. TC meditation 2. Brisk walking 3. Neutral reading</td>
<td>Stress (Salivary Cortisol Level) Anxiety (STAI Y-1) Mood and Emotion (POMS)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>All groups reduced stress level and improved total mood disturbance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>7 Non-Randomized Comparison Studies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee et al, 2007</td>
<td>Usual activity</td>
<td>Self-Esteem (SSES-Chinese Version)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>Tai Chi improved self-esteem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wall, 2005</td>
<td>Self-comparison</td>
<td>Anxiety (Written subjective statements)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Students reported feeling calmer, peaceful, relaxed &amp; experienced well-being &amp; improved sleep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mills et al, 2000</td>
<td>Self-comparison</td>
<td>Anxiety, Depression (POMS)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>Tai Chi decreased tension-anxiety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gibb et al, 1997</td>
<td>Self-comparison</td>
<td>Mood and Emotion (Biographical Self Reflection Program)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Tai Chi improved structured reminiscence with facilitated focused &amp; insightful thinking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fu et al, 1996</td>
<td>Self-comparison</td>
<td>Mood and Emotion (Records from self-report program)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Tai Chi decreased total mood disturbance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jin, 1989</td>
<td>Self-comparison</td>
<td>Stress (Salivary Cortisol Level) Depression (POMS) Anxiety (STAI) Mood and Emotion (POMS)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>Tai Chi decreased stress during and after Tai Chi</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Tai Chi and Anxiety

Five RCTs, 9 NRS and 5 OBS investigated the anxiety-reducing effect of Tai Chi in 1869 people from 4 countries (USA, UK, Australia and China) (Table 2). Seven studies used the Profile of Mood States Anxiety subscale[42] and 6 employed the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory [43]. The remainder used: the Depression, Anxiety, Stress Scale [23], Connor’s Teacher Rating Scale-Revised [44], Taylor Manifest Anxiety Scale [45], State Anxiety Inventory[46] and Zung Self-Rating Anxiety Scale [47]. Two disease-specific anxiety measures were used: the Symptom Checklist-90[48] and the Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire [49].

### Table 3: Effects of Tai Chi on psychological health (19 non-meta-analyzed studies) (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Measure Description</th>
<th>p值</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mack, 1980</td>
<td>Self-comparison</td>
<td>Mood and Emotion (no test reported)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Tai Chi improved mood status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang &amp; Wang, 2004</td>
<td>1. &gt;30 min, &gt;3×/wk (regular Tai Chi &amp; Tai Chi sword)</td>
<td>Stress (Chinese Psychological Stress Scores)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>Tai Chi decreased psychological stress vs. some activity (&lt;30 min, &lt;3×/wk)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. some activity, &lt;30 min, &lt;3×/wk</td>
<td></td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>Tai Chi decreased psychological stress vs. other activity (&gt;30 min, &gt;3×/wk)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. other activity, &gt;30 min, &gt;3×/wk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yang et al, 2004</td>
<td>Routine activity</td>
<td>Anxiety (Zung SAS) Depression (Zung SDS)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>Tai Chi decreased anxiety and depression scores</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond et al, 2002</td>
<td>1. Sedentary</td>
<td>Anxiety (STAI)</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>Tai Chi and moderate aerobic activity decreased state anxiety vs. sedentary group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Moderate aerobic activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen et al, 2001</td>
<td>No exercise control group</td>
<td>Anxiety, Depression, Mood and Emotion (POMS-SF)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>Tai Chi decreased tension-anxiety, depression-dejection and total mood disturbance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu &amp; Zhang, 2000</td>
<td>General population</td>
<td>Anxiety, Depression (Self-Rating Scale-90)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>Tai Chi decreased anxiety and depression scales</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long et al, 2000</td>
<td>Routine activity</td>
<td>Anxiety, Depression, Mood and Emotion (POMS)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>Tai Chi decreased anxiety, depression scales and total mood disturbance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** ND = no data; NS = not statistically significant; DASS 21 = Depression, Anxiety, Stress Scales 21 item questionnaire; POMS = Profile of Mood States; RSE = Rosenberg Self-Esteem scale; STAI = State-Trait Anxiety Inventory; LSES = Life Satisfaction in the Elderly Scale; PANAS = Positive and Negative Affect Schedule; SPS = Self-Perceived Stress score; SPES = Sonstroem Physical Examination Scale; BCS = Body Cathexis Score; SSES = State Self-Esteem Scale; FAHI = Functional Assessment of Human Immunodeficiency Virus Infection; SAS = Self-Rating Anxiety Scale; SDS = Self-Rating Depression Scale. *Objective measure.*
Meta-analysis results

Two RCTs and 6 NRS with 359 participants including patients with symptomatic osteoarthritis [34], healthy adults [37,50-52], elderly with cardiovascular disease risk factors [38], individuals with fibromyalgia [53], and adolescents with ADHD [54] found that Tai Chi practiced 2 to 4 times a week (30 to 60 minutes/time) for 5 to 24 weeks was associated with a significant reduction in anxiety (ES, 0.66; 95% CI, 0.29 to 1.03) (Figure 2b) with $I^2 = 76\%$.

Studies not in meta-analysis

Three RCTs, 2 NRS and 5 OBS were not included in the meta-analysis due to lack of sufficient quantitative data (Table 3). The duration of Tai Chi interventions ranged from 1 hour to 14 years (20 minutes to 1 hour, 1 to 3 times a week). Results from 2 RCTs with 134 subjects reported that Tai Chi practice for a single 1 hour session [39], or twice a week for 60 minutes/time over 8 weeks was associated with a significant reduction in anxiety scores both among HIV patients[55] and healthy adults [39,40,56]. Of note, the third RCT by Brown et al, who randomized 135 healthy adults to a Tai Chi-type activity, moderate or low intensity walking, walking with relaxation, or control reported results by gender [56]. The authors observed a significant decline in anxiety for women, but an insignificant decline in anxiety for men.

Wall et al conducted an NRS with 11th and 8th graders who performed Yang style Tai Chi and Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction training (1 hour once a week, for 5 weeks) and found that the participants were "calmer, more peaceful and enjoyed improved sleep relaxation" [57]. The other NRS by Mills et al reported a nonsignificant decrease in tension-anxiety in 8 adults with Multiple Sclerosis [58]. Five large OBS of 1091 healthy adult participants, who practiced Tai Chi between 6 months and 14 years, showed significantly reduced anxiety measures compared to the general population [59], sedentary controls and people engaged in routine or moderate aerobic activity [60-63].
Summary
Overall, Tai Chi was positively associated with reduced anxiety using one or more anxiety measures, but overall study quality was modest.

Tai Chi and Depression
Ten RCTs, 6 NRS and 4 OBS examined the effects of Tai Chi on depression in 2008 subjects. Tai Chi intervention ranged from a single 1-hour session to 14 years. Six studies used the Center for Epidemiology Studies Depression Scale [64]. Seven used the Profile of Mood States Depression subscale [42], and the rest tests were the Beck Depression Inventory [65], Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire [49], Zung Self-Rating Depression Scale [66] and Self-Rating Scale-90.

Meta-analysis results
Nine RCTs and 4 NRS in 634 people examined the effects of Tai Chi on depression versus education, routine activity, waiting list and other forms of exercise as well as self-comparison among healthy adults [35,37,51,67-69], individuals with rheumatoid arthritis [12], osteoarthritis [13,34], fibromyalgia [53,70], depression disorders [71], sedentary obese women [72], and elderly Chinese with cardiovascular disease risk factors [38]. Of note, only two studies involved participants with clinically diagnosed depression [68,71].

Overall, our analysis suggests that 6 to 48 weeks (40 minutes to 2 hours, 1 to 4 times a week) of Tai Chi practice resulted in significant depression-reduction effects compared to various controls (ES, 0.56; 95% CI, 0.31 to 0.80) (Figure 2c) with I² = 62%. This result remained significant upon dropping the study with the large effect [71].

Studies not in meta-analysis
One RCT, 2 NRS and 4 OBS lacked sufficient quantitative detail for analysis (Table 3). Brown et al found a significant decrease in depression for women [56]. The studies by Mills and Jin also reported significant decreases in depression after 8 weeks [58] and an hour of Tai Chi practice, respectively [40]. Four OBS of 842 healthy Chinese subjects showed that regular Tai Chi practice up to 14 years demonstrated statistically significant reductions in depressive symptoms compared with routine activity [59,60,62,63].

Summary
Overall, evidence from most studies showed that Tai Chi tended to reduce depression. This result was associated with improvement in symptoms and physical function in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and multiple sclerosis, as well as improvement in the immune response of healthy elderly participants. However, the vast majority of the studies suffer from less rigorous designs and were conducted on “healthy” populations with only two studies reporting results on participants diagnosed with clinical depression [68,71].

Tai Chi and Mood
Evidence on the effects of Tai Chi on mood was examined from 4 RCTs, eight NRS and 3 OBS in 1613 subjects. Duration of Tai Chi practice ranged from 1 hour to 14 years (1 to 7 times a week). The majority of studies reported the total score of the Profile of Mood States scale [42]; other measures were the Functional Assessment of HIV Infection [26], Positive and Negative Affect Schedule [73], Life Satisfaction in the Elderly Scale [74], Symptom Checklist-90 [48], Conners’ Teacher Rating Scale-Revised [44], and Multiple Affect Adjective Checklist-Revised [75].

Meta-analysis results
Two RCTs and 4 NRS assessed the effects of Tai Chi on mood in healthy elderly [35,76], individuals with HIV [32], elderly Chinese with cardiovascular disease risk factors [38], and adolescents with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder [54]. Tai Chi was performed between 5 and 24 weeks (30 to 90 minutes, 1 to 4 times per week). Tai Chi significantly improved mood compared to various controls with overall ES of (0.45; 95% CI, 0.20 to 0.69), and the I² = 25% (Figure 2d).

Studies not in meta-analysis
Two RCTs, four NRS and 3 OBS lacked sufficient quantitative detail for analysis. Brown et al reported that 16 weeks of Tai Chi showed significantly elevated mood for women [56]. Significant improvement in mood was also reported in a RCT following short-term Tai Chi training [39]. Results from 3 NRS reported non-significant improvements in mood following 7 weeks to 1 year of Tai Chi practice [40]. Similarly, 3 OBS of 799 subjects reported that 0.5 to 14 years of Tai Chi practice significantly improved mood disturbance in healthy participants [62,63].

Summary
Overall, evidence suggested short and long-term Tai Chi practice had favorable effects on mood among healthy adults [35,37,39,40,56,62,63,76], elderly with cardiovascular risk factors [38], obese women [32], and adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder [54]. However, the overall study quality was poor with inadequate or no controls in the majority of studies.
Tai Chi and Self-esteem

Meta-analysis results

Only 3 RCTs and 1 NRS evaluated the effects of Tai Chi on self-esteem in 425 subjects and there are no sufficient quantitative data for meta-analysis.

Studies not in meta-analysis

In these 4 trials, Tai Chi practice lasted from 12 to 26 weeks (45 to 60 minutes, 2 to 3 times per week). Among the measurements employed were: Rosenberg’s 10-item Global Self-Esteem Scale [79], the Chinese version of the State Self-Esteem Scale [80], Sonstroem Physical Examination Scale [81] and the Body Cathexis Score [82]. Results from 3 RCTs involving 286 subjects reported that 12 to 16 weeks of Tai Chi was associated with increases in self-esteem scores compared with control groups [56,83,84]. Two RCTs, however, reported nonsignificant results [56,84]. A significant improvement in self-esteem was reported in a recent 26 week NRS that compared Tai Chi to routine activity among 139 Chinese healthy elderly [85].

Summary

Overall, Tai Chi was positively associated with improvement in self-esteem although no meta-analysis result was provided.

Discussion

Tai Chi, a form of low impact mind-body exercise, has spread worldwide over the past two decades. This systematic review and meta-analysis summarizes and updates results of the effects of Tai Chi exercise on health outcomes[11] in terms of psychological effects in various populations.

Evidence accrued from clinical trials and observational studies indicates that Tai Chi—both short and long-term—appears to have mental health benefits in promoting psychological well-being, self-esteem and life satisfaction among healthy subjects and patients with chronic conditions. Specifically, twenty-three of the 33 RCTs and NRS from our quantitative meta-analysis and qualitative evidence synthesis reported that 1 hour to 1 year of regular Tai Chi activity significantly reduced stress, anxiety and depression, and enhanced mood in healthy adults and patients with chronic conditions. The 7 OBS with relatively large sample sizes reinforce the beneficial effects of Tai Chi on psychological health, although bias may be inherent in these observational data.

Our review is congruent with other recent epidemiological reports, experimental trials and literature reviews supporting the fact that physical activity and exercise are associated with better psychological health [8,14,15,86]. Biddle et al recently reviewed evidence on physical activity and exercise in relation to different aspects of mental health. They found that exercise is associated with the strongest anxiety-reduction effects and emphasized the causal link between physical activities and reduction in clinically-defined depression [18]. In particular, evidence from meta-analyses and narrative reviews demonstrates that physical activity and exercise as well as mind-body practice have consistently been associated with positive mood and affect [10,14,15,87-89].

There is insufficient evidence to find any dose-response effect of Tai Chi for psychological outcomes. The studies included in this review exhibit a wide variety of Tai Chi styles, frequency, duration and follow up. The Yang style was used in 17 studies. The majority of studies featured Tai Chi 2 to 3 times per week (frequency), for at least 20 minutes and for an average of 40 to 60 minutes per session (duration). The length of exercise programs ranged from 5 weeks to 1 year, or a single hour for two studies. In the OBS, Tai Chi duration ranged from 6 months to 14 years, and all studies found positive psychological benefits. Few studies reported the intensity and relationship between adherence to Tai Chi and positive psychological effects. Further studies are needed to optimize effective evidence-based dose-response effects and should strictly demand descriptions of intensity, frequency, duration and adherence of the Tai Chi exercise.

Tai Chi appears to be an effective therapeutic modality to improve psychological well-being among various populations. However, it is still difficult to draw firm conclusions. First, we did not include any unpublished studies. The overall methodological quality of previous studies is unsatisfactory, consisting mostly of small sized or non-randomized comparisons. Given the few high quality RCTs available for investigation, our review is limited by wide variations in methodological rigor of clinical trials and observational studies. Second, the heterogeneous amalgamation of instruments used to collect clinical psychological health data restricts our ability to evaluate differences in these outcomes. Third, it remains unclear whether Tai Chi mind-body exercise provides equal or superior psychological benefits compared to moderate-intensity aerobic exercises. Fourth, most studies failed to provide objective measures of stress and anxiety such as salivary cortisol level, blood pressure or heart rate, and some studies only reported a subset of psychological outcomes. Due to the limited physiological variables in our analyses, we were unable to analyze the effect of Tai Chi on physiological effects. Fifth, the studies included in the meta-analyses demonstrated a relatively high degree of heterogeneity. Various patient populations were used, and most studies involved healthy people. There were also many variations between the included studies with regard to methodological quality (eg, problems of randomization, allocation concealment, or reporting results), which prohibited us from analyzing the quantit-
tive evidence. However, it is difficult to compare results across studies because they were assessed at different time points. Additionally, all the studies published in mainland China, Hong Kong and Taiwan reported unanimously positive results. Differences in methodological rigor between eastern and western studies may be potential sources of heterogeneity, and publication bias may vary across countries and cultures.

Few published studies have specifically investigated the underlying mechanism of action of Tai Chi’s effects on psychological health. Many intermediate, but unidentified, variables may lie along the pathway from Tai Chi to improved psychological well-being. Measures of psychological variables and a multitude of other outcome measures are empirically inter-related, and treatment of each outcome can reciprocally and exponentially improve the other. Improvement of psychological status is also associated with improvement in other clinical outcomes such as arthritic pain as well as health status [90]. The possible mechanisms for enhanced psychological health resulting from Tai Chi mind-body exercise may therefore act through its beneficial influence on biological, physiological, cardiovascular, neurological, and immunological effects as well as overall well-being [11,67,89,91].

Conclusions

In conclusion, the results of these studies suggest that Tai Chi may be associated with improvements in psychological well-being including reduced stress, anxiety, depression and mood disturbance, and increased self-esteem. High-quality, rigorous, prospective, well-controlled randomized trials with appropriate comparison groups and validated outcome measures are needed to further understand the effects of Tai Chi as an intervention for specific psychological conditions in different populations. Knowledge about the physiological and psychological effects of Tai Chi exercise may lead to new complementary and alternative medical approaches to promote health, treat chronic medical conditions, better inform clinical decisions and further explicate the mechanisms of successful mind-body intervention.
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