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Abstract

Patents for Chinese herbal medicines can be difficult to obtain. When the active ingredients of an herbal formula are known, danfang (single herb prescriptions) is better protected with quantified composition claims. When the active ingredients are unknown, 'product by processing', 'method of processing', 'method of administration' and 'new use claims' are often powerful tools to distinguish a traditional danfang from 'the prior art'. Additional patents may also be filed continuously in the product development process. Existing patents for fufang (composite prescriptions) are primarily drafted to protect traditional herbal formulations. More efforts are needed to protect various herbal combinations and their multiple applications.

Introduction

Keeping Chinese medicine formulae as trade secrets has led to some losses of knowledge and experience accumulated over generations. Drugs as well as dietary supplements are subject to regulations and disclosure requirements; it has become increasingly difficult for CM practitioners to keep their prescriptions and treatment methods as trade secrets. In 1993, the Chinese government issued the Regulations on the Protection of Traditional Chinese Medicines which, to this date, provides administrative protection to certain prescriptions and manufacturing processes [1].

For developing new drugs and improved formulations, many Chinese herbs are being studied by major pharmaceutical companies with modern technologies. These companies rely on patent to protect their intellectual properties. Due to vast philosophical differences between CM and Western medicine, there are challenges in patent applications for CM-related products. The present article discusses some of the challenges and proposes solutions to these challenges.

Discussion

Types of Chinese medicine prescriptions

According to Chinese medicine theory, local pathologic changes are always considered to be connected with the entire body [2]. A strategic combination of different ingredients of herbs is necessary to generate specific and synergistic effects.

In Chinese medicine prescriptions, single herb prescriptions are called danfang [3] whereas multiple herb prescriptions are called fufang [3] which are thought to synergize the therapeutic effects of different ingredients while minimize their toxicities [4]. Fufang is much more commonly used than danfang [4].

Patenting strategies for danfang prescriptions

Patenting a danfang with known active ingredients

Active ingredients in a danfang may be chemically synthesized and their chemical structures may be further modified for improved safety and efficacy. Quinine, the first effective antimalarial drug, was originally discovered from the bark of the cinchona tree. The traditional herbal form of the medicine may still exist even after the active ingredients have been developed into a pure-compound drug. For example, berberine is an isoquinoline alkaloid isolated from plants such as Rhizoma Coptidis (Huanglian) and Cortex Phellodendri (Huangbai). While berberine hydrochloride, documented for its strong antibiotic and anti-inflammatory effects, has been marketed as a pure chemical drug, herbal formulae comprising Rhizoma Coptidis are still widely prescribed, e.g. Coptis extract tablets (Huangliansu Pian) and Coptis teapills (Huangliansu Wan) with indications such as diarrhea, dysentery, indigestion and infections. As such, patents for the traditional herbal form of these products and patents for the pure chemical drugs may co-
exist. Information of known active ingredients of an herbal medicine should be incorporated into its patent. Information such as whether the chemical structures are racemates or chiral enantiomers, or how the precise quantity range of the active ingredients in the herbal product can be determined by analytical techniques such as gas chromatography (GC), high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) and mass spectroscopy (MS).

Patenting strategies for danfang with unknown active ingredients

While a danfang with unknown active ingredients can be patented, novelty plays a key role in its patent application. In terms of composition claims, danfang formulae are more vulnerable to 35 U.S.C. 102 or 35 U.S.C. 103 rejections than fufang. Most herbs with medical effects have been somewhat disclosed ‘in prior art’. Such claims as ‘a composition for treating X disease comprising H (a single herbal ingredient)’ are almost invariably rejected for lack of novelty or inventive step although a traditional danfang formula may still be protected by a number of approaches including ‘method of processing’, ‘product by processing’, ‘method of administration’ and ‘new use of the herb’. ‘Product by processing’ remains a powerful tool to distinguish a traditional danfang from ‘prior art’. For example, U.S. Pat. 7,101,535 claims ‘an oral composition effective against halitosis comprising an extract from Moutan bark (Paeonia suffruticosa Andrews) as an active ingredient’. While the claim was rejected for lack of novelty over prior patents, the ‘product by processing’ claim was allowed.

To obtain a patent for a commonly used herb as long as there is a novel perspective in the formula. For example, U.S. Pat. 7,575,772 is based on the ‘process and composition for syrup and jam from Luohanguo (Siraitia grosvenori or Momordica grosvenori) which is the fruit of the plants belonging to Curcubitaceae family’. Luohanguo as a remedy for respiratory complaints (e.g. dry coughs) has been used in many Chinese medicine formulae. While the Encyclopedia of Traditional Chinese Medicine [5] recommends the use of Luohanguo as a laxative, the applicants of this patent claimed that the whole Luohanguo fruit may be processed as a natural sweetener. As ‘prior art’ recommended to remove the seeds and husks of Luohanguo, the applicants protected a unique aspect of the formula by claiming to process the whole fruit extract.

Patent protection of the development process

Companies developing a danfang herbal product may file patents on an on-going basis to protect various stages of the development. When the mechanism of an herbal formula has been elucidated, or when new applications have been discovered from a danfang, a series of patents must be filed along with the development process.

Found in northern China, Xanthoceras sorbifolia Bunge (Wenguanguo) is a species of the sapindaceae family. Its seeds have been used as a folk medicine to treat enuresis [6]. U.S. Pat. No. 6,616,943 was drawn to an herbal formula comprising Wenguanguo to prevent cerebral aging, improve memory and cerebral functions and cure enuresis and to treat frequent micturition, urinary incontinence, dementia, weak intelligence and Alzheimer’s disease, autism, brain trauma, Parkinson’s disease and other diseases related to cerebral dysfunction. U.S. Pat. 7,189,420 claims an extract of Wenguanguo husk for use as a medicine or food supplement. U.S. Pat. 7,514,412 claims novel compounds isolated from Wenguanguo that have anticancer activities. Those novel compounds may be either extracted from natural sources or synthesized chemically. Currently, U.S. Pat. 7,262,285 covers the derivatives of the isolated active ingredients.

Patenting strategies for fufang prescriptions

Patent protection of herbal formulations

A strategy for protecting herbal formulations is to divide the compositions into several groups and (1) specify the composition with the most essential herbs in the independent claim; (2) specify the compositions with additional herbs as dependent claims. For example:

Claim 1: A composition for treating disease X comprising H1, H2 and H3.
Claim 2: A composition of claim 1, wherein the composition further comprises H4.
Claim 3: A composition of claim 1, wherein the composition further comprises H5.
Claim 4: A composition of claim 1, wherein H3 is replaced by H3A, H3B or H3C.

Many patentees have tried to use Markush claims to protect alternative compositions. Markush claims, however, are prone to the restriction requirement under 35 U.S.C. 121. For example, the original claim 6 of U.S. Pat. 7,465,466 entitled Compositions and Methods for Prostate and Kidney Health and Disorders, an Herbal Preparation was drafted to recite

‘A Method comprising:
identifying a subject with a kidney disorder and
establishing a regimen for administering a composition comprising an aliquot of the Herba Epimedii and
an aliquot of at least three supplemental herbs selected from the group of Fructus Rosae Laeigatae, Fructus Rubi, Fructus Psoraleae, Radix Morindae officinalis, Fructus Schisandrac Chinensis, Fructus Ligustri Lucidi, Semen Cuscutae and Radix Astragali’ [7].

This claim was rejected and the examiner requested the applicant to select a species of the herbs. The final claim was subsequently amended to be ‘A method comprising identifying a human subject with a kidney disorder and
establishing a regimen for administering a composition to the subject, the composition formulated to restore stasis in the kidney comprising an aliquot of the Herba Epimedii and an aliquot of each of the supplemental herbs selected from the group consisting of Fructus Rosae Laerigatae, Fructus Rubi, Fructus Psoraleae, Radix Morindae officinalis, Fructus Schisandrachinensis, Fructus Ligustri Lucidi, Semen Cuscutae and Radix Astragali’ [7].

While the patent was eventually issued, the scope of the patent was much narrower than intended.

The restriction requirement may be bypassed if a functional term is used to ‘label’ the interchangeable herbs in a group. The United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) would not refuse to examine a claim that contains alternative language unless the subject matter of the claim lacked a ‘unity of invention’ [8]. The USPTO currently considers the ‘unity of invention’ to exist in a Markush group where the members of the group (1) share a common utility and (2) share a substantial feature essential to that utility [9]. Therefore, a functional language or generic terminology may be used to describe a group as sharing a ‘common utility’ to meet the ‘unity of invention’ requirement. For example, Claim 1 U.S. Pat. 6,280,751 for ‘essential oil’ recites that ‘a medicinal or cosmetic composition for oral administration comprising at least one essential oil in combination with at least one spice selected from the group consisting of Acacia Catechu, Acanthopanax, Gracilistylus, Caesalpinia Sappan, Epimedium Spinosa, Paonia lactiflora, Paonia obovata, Atractyloides macrocephala, Glycyrrhiza uralensis, Glycyrrhiza glabra, Lycium chinense, Nauclea rhynchophylla, Cinnamomum cassia, Astragalus membranaceus, Scutellaria, baikalensis, Schizonepeta tenuifolia, Ephedra sinica, Ophiopogon japonicus, Paonia suffruticosa, Artemisia annua, Artemisia apiacea, Panax notoginseng, Cornus officinalis, Acorus gramineus, Reluwhania glutinoso, Gastrodia elata, Asparagus cochinchinensis, Cuscuta chinensis, Schizandra chinensis, Schizandra sphenanthera, Magnolia liliflora, Epimediumbrevicomum, Epimedium grandiflorum, Epimedium brevicomum, Epimedium grandiflorum, Epimedium sagittatum, Houttuynia cordata, Polygala tenuifolia and Perilla frutescents and Aloe vera extract.

Claim 2 recites that ‘a medical or cosmetic composition according to claim 1, wherein the essential oil is selected from the group consisting of bergamot, chamomile german, chamomile maroc, chamomile roman, cinnamon zeylanicum, clove buds, eucalyptus globules, frankincense, fennel, hyssop, juniper, lemon grass, mountain savory, niaouli, red thyme, rosemary, rose geranium, tagetes and ylang ylang.’

Both claims 1 and 2 are allowable despite the long list of herbs enumerated in the Markush claim possibly because the alternative herbs belong to two functional groups, namely ‘spice’ and ‘essential oil.’

Protection of multiple fufang applications

In Chinese medicine, a fufang formula may be used to treat a range of diseases, the philosophy of which is in stark contrast with Western medicine. Claims that a fufang prescription is effective to treat multiple diseases often impede a patent application. These claims are often rejected on the grounds of 35 U.S.C. 112 as ‘lack of enablement.’

Under these circumstances, a patent application may be divided into various medical targets for each herbal composition. In a hypothetical example, a fufang formula is effective to quickly heal skin damage caused by trauma, burning or fungal infection. The herbal composition exhibits strong antibacterial and antifungal effects both in vivo and in vitro. In this case, several separate patents should be filed for each therapeutic category. One patent should emphasize the antibacterial effects. Another patent should emphasize the applications of the herbal composition for treating skin infections caused by fungi pathogens (e.g. athletes’ foot).

In an actual case, an herbal medicine company discovered that a fufang formula reduced inflammation. In particular, the herbal formula inhibits Cyclooxygenase (COX) which is an enzyme-protein complex associated with inflammation. A number of diseases and/or symptoms are associated with the inflammation reactions controlled by COX isoenzymes, such as prostate cancer, prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia and glioblastoma (brain tumor). The inventors of the formula obtained a series of patents, of which U.S. Pat. 6,387,416 claims a method of using the composition to reduce inflammation; U.S. Pat. 7,067,159 claims a method of treating prostate cancer; U.S. Pat. 7,070,816 claims a method of treating prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia and precancerous cellular proliferations within prostatic ducts, ductiles and acini; U.S. Pat. 7,622,142 claims a method of using the same (or similar) composition to treat brain tumor.

A powerful patent protection for a fufang formula may be obtained as long as the chemical structures of its major active ingredients are identified, however, this is extremely difficult to achieve as fufang is processed from a mixture of herbs with hundreds of thousands of compounds. To this date, most successfully obtained patents for fufang formulae are those protecting their herbal compositions and formulations.

Conclusion

A powerful patent protection for an herbal formula may be obtained when the chemical structures of each active ingredient are identified and patented. While this is a rel-
atively easy task for danfang formulae, it remains a very daunting task for fufang formulae. As a result, the current patents for fufang formulae protect only the traditional herbal formulations. More efforts from the research community are needed to protect the various herbal combinations as well as the multiple applications of fufang formulae.
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