Benign myoepithelioma of the lung - a case report and review of the literature

Abstract

Introduction: Benign myoepithelioma is extremely rare in the lung, to the best of our knowledge; only five cases have been reported in the literature.

Case Report: An 18-years woman complained from tiredness and fever during four months. Laboratory findings and fibroscopies were normal. CT of the thorax demonstrated a nodule in the left segment of the Fowler. Left inferior lobectomy was performed comporting a firm nodule of 25 mm, lifting the bronchial mucous membrane. Histologically, there was a proliferation of small cells of a plasmocytoid-type, with a predominantly whorled pattern. No mitotic activity or necrosis was seen in the tumor. Immunohistochemically, the tumor cells positive for smooth muscle actin, vimentine, and S100 protein. They were negatives for cytokeratine, chromogranine and HMB45. The diagnosis of benign myoepithelioma of the lung is so confirmed. The patient recovered well at 6 months follow-up.

Conclusion: Benign myoepithelioma is a rare pulmonary neoplasm distinct from pleomorphic adenoma, which should be considered in the differential diagnosis of lung nodules.

Introduction

The histological types of primitive “salivary gland-type” tumors arising in the lung are very infrequent. They are essentially represented by the mucoepidermoid carcinoma, the adenoid cystic carcinoma and the pleomorphic adenoma [1].

Benign myoepithelioma is extremely rare in the lung, to the best of our knowledge; only five cases have been reported in the literature [2-5].

Case Report

An 18-years non-smoker woman complained from tiredness, fever and sweating essentially by night, and during four months. Physical examination was normal except a fever at 39°C. Laboratory findings particularly, complete blood count (CBC) revealed anemia of inflammation; immune-serology was negative for CMV, EBV, toxoplasmosis, HIV, B and C Hepatitis. Biochemical tests were within normal ranges. There was only a major inflammatory disorder especially erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) was elevated > 100 mm/hour, CRP was high in level about 62 U/ml. BK search and IDR reaction were negatives. Digestive and colonic fibroscopy were normal. The initial chest radiograph revealed inter-bronchial centimetric lymph nodes of the left-basal pyramid, without parenchymal lesions. Fiberoptic bronchoscopy was initially normal, with negative core biopsy, aspiration sample and cytology. At an early age, night sweats, the inflammation without obvious porta of entry and the fact that Tunisia is an endemic country, the patient was treated as tuberculosis during 2 months. Unfortunately, there was no improvement with persistence of fever and recent weight loss. A new check-up was initiated to absolutely exclude neoplasia. Computed tomography of the thorax finally demonstrated a 25 mm nodule in the left segment of the Fowler, with no extension of the pleural surface. No calcification was seen in the lesion. Fiberoptic bronchoscopy revealed a reddish, hyper-vascularised, gleaming tumor of the Nelson. A carcinoid tumor was suspected. The patient underwent video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery, and a left inferior lobectomy was performed. Gross pathologic findings consisted on a lobectomy measuring 9 × 5 × 4 cm and comporting at 5 mm of bronchial section a firm round nodule. It measured 25 × 20 × 20 mm with well-demarcated margin and lifted the bronchial mucous membrane.
Histological findings revealed an endobronchial and submucosal tumor composed of a proliferation of small cells, with a predominantly whorled pattern (Fig 1, 2). There were also areas of focal reticular pattern mixed with pink stroma. Most of the cells appeared as plasmacytoid-type (Fig 2). The nuclei showed dispersed chromatin. A few spindle cells, with cigar-shaped nuclei and abundant eosinophilic cytoplasm. A clear cell change were focally seen throughout the tumor (Fig 2). Nucleoli were inconspicuous. The benignity of the tumor was confirmed by the absence of mitotic activity, necrosis and hemorrhage. The lack of myxoid or chondroid stroma and glandular structure that eliminates the main differential diagnosis of pleomorphic adenoma. Immunohistochemical stains, including epithelial markers (cytokeratin and epithelial membrane antigen), muscular markers (smooth muscle actin and desmin), neuroendocrine markers (chromogranin and synaptophysin), neural markers (glial fibrillary acidic protein [GFAP] and S100 protein), vascular markers (CD34) and a mesenchymal marker (vimentin), were obtained. The tumor cells were not only positive for smooth muscle actin (Fig 3) and vimentine, but also for S100 protein (Fig 4). Tumor cells were negative for cytokeratine (Fig 5), neuroendocrine markers including chromogranine and synaptophysin as well as for epithelial membrane antigen, desmin, HMB45 and CD34. The MIB1 index was estimated at 1%. All lymph nodes were negative. The diagnosis of benign myoepithelioma of the lung is so confirmed. The patient recovered well following surgery and had no complications at 6 months follow-up.

Discussion

Myoepithelial cells are usually seen between epithelial cells and basal cells in intercalated ducts and acini of exocrine glands. Myoepithelioma have been described most commonly in salivary gland and accounts for 1% of all tumors developing in the salivary gland. Other sites include soft tissue; breast and skin are well-described entities [6]. In 1987, Strickler et al. [2] reported the first case of a myoepithelioma occurring in the lung. That neoplasm showed histological features identical to those described in myoepitheliomas of major and minor salivary glands. In the lung, myoepithelioma is an extremely rare tumor; only four well-documented cases are reported. In the English literature, Myoepithelioma of the lung have been used as a vague and confusing term to describe a pulmonary lesion of a myoepithelial origin. In fact, several so-called Myoepithelioma were actually malignant tumors [7,8]. Benign myoepithelioma seems to be the best term to define the current lesion to avoid confusion with malignant myoepithelial lesions in the lung [9]. Histologically, the main
differential diagnosis is pleomorphic adenoma or also called mixed tumor. In general, these tumors are characterized morphologically as well-circumscribed lesions exhibiting epithelial and/or myoepithelial elements in variant proportions within a hyalinized to chondromyxoid stroma [10,11]. It has been proposed that this histological complexity in mixed tumors is due to ability of myoepithelial cells to differentiate along many different cell lines. Those tumors, which are composed almost entirely of myoepithelial cells bearing close resemblance to the myoepithelial cells of pleomorphic adenoma and which are devoid of epithelial ductular differentiation, are referred to as Myoepithelioma [12].

Myoepithelioma is classified by morphologic appearance into four architectural subtypes; solid, myxoid, reticular and mixed, and into five cellular subtypes; spindle, plasmacytoid, epithelial, clear and mixed [10,12]. Lack of macronuclei, multiple nuclei, frequency of mitosis, and high density of nuclear chromatin, assess the benignity. As normal myoepithelial cells, neoplastic myoepithelial cells are generally considered to share both muscular and epithelial characteristics, and have been shown to be immunoreactive for actine smooth muscle (all cases in the literature) and sometimes for cytokeratine (one case on four). S100 protein is almost positive and expressed in four cases. GFAP is observed in only one case (Table 1). A comparative review of literature emphasizing clinical data’s, immunohistochemistry and electron microscopy are detailed in Table 1. The MIB1 index was performed only in our case. Ultrastructurally, medium-sized tumor cells present in the cytoplasm, undulating filaments that were located close to the nucleus most consistent with tonofilaments. Myofilaments are also seen arranged in bundles. Both tonofilaments and myofilaments were seen in three cases (Table 1). No electron microscopy was performed in two cases including our case.

Nuclear expression on P63 was recently described on salivary gland Myoepithelioma [13].

Like any small pulmonary nodule, the myoepitheliomea should be considered as differential diagnosis. Management decisions should not be based on nodule size alone, but patient’s age, clinical datas. In fact, previous CT scans, chest radiographs, and other pertinent imaging studies should be obtained for comparison whenever possible, as they may serve to demonstrate either stability or interval growth of the nodule in question. As seen in our case, noncalcified nodules larger than 8 mm diameter can bear a substantial risk of malignancy and should be managed accordingly [14,15]. Depending on the circumstances, follow-up imaging studies or intervention may be appropriate. Primary lung cancer is rare in young patients under 35 years of age (<1% of all cases), and the risks from radiation exposure are greater than in the older population. Like our patient, persons with unexplained fever with certain clinical settings short-term imaging follow-up or intervention may be appropriate. Conservative management is generally appropriate for nodules in very elderly patients or in those with major comorbid disease. Interval growth of any nodule suggests an active process, and further evaluation or intervention should be considered in such cases. Inflammatory disorders, unusual symptoms and endobronchial location were unexpected for Myoepithelioma and make our case unique.

Positron emission tomographic scanning has revolutionized evaluation and staging of benign and malignant pulmonary tumors. Because of the recent advent of this imaging method and because of the rarity of some types of pulmonary nodules, the fluorodeoxyglucose uptake of many such tumors is unknown. In only one patient a positron emission tomographic scan on a benign pulmonary Myoepithelioma was performed for the first time.
time [16]. No fluorodeoxyglucose accumulation was noted in the nodule or mediastinum. This coincides well with the benign histologic findings.

Our case is typically a benign-myoepithelial lesion as the tumour lifted the bronchial mucosa up with no evidence of invasion into it and surrounding lung tissue. No nuclear pleomorphism, hyperchromatism or atypical mitosis was seen. On immunostaining, tumour cells showed positivity for S-100 protein, vimentin and smooth muscle actin.

In salivary gland counterpart, and according to well-documented series, myoepitheliomas are less prone to recur than pleomorphic adenomas. However, others have reported higher recurrence rates. Recurrence is correlated with positive margins at the first excision.

The recommended treatment is complete surgical excision. Benign Myoepithelioma can undergo malignant transformation, especially in long standing tumors with multiple recurrences [17]. This fact is not yet demonstrated in pulmonary Myoepithelioma because of short follow-up.

**Conclusion**

In summary, benign Myoepithelioma is a rare pulmonary neoplasm distinct from pleomorphic adenoma, which should be considered in the differential diagnosis of lung nodules, especially when using fine-needle aspiration biopsies. Immunohistochemical stains and electron microscopy, when possible, can accurate diagnosis.
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**Table 1 Comparison of all cases of benign Myoepithelioma of the literature**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Location in lung</th>
<th>Size (cm)</th>
<th>Histological type</th>
<th>IHC</th>
<th>Electron microscopy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Periphery</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>Spindle cells</td>
<td>Actin+ Keratin-</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Periphery</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Spindle cells</td>
<td>Actin+ Keratin–</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Periphery</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>Spindle, plasmocytoid cells</td>
<td>Actin + Keratin+ PS100-</td>
<td>T, M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Periphery</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Mixed cellularity</td>
<td>Actin+ Keratin-</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>endobronchial</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Mixed cellularity</td>
<td>Actin+ Keratin-</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current case</td>
<td>endobronchial</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Mixed cellularity</td>
<td>Actin+ Keratin-</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M: myofilaments, T: tonofilaments, NA: not available.
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